



## **Economic Policy Institute**

February 26, 2014

# **SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES TO EPI BRIEFING PAPER 372**

**Job Gains from Ending Currency Manipulation, by  
Congressional District**

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 1

Net U.S. jobs created by eliminating currency manipulation, by congressional district, low-impact scenario, 2015 (ranked by jobs created as a share of district employment)\*

Rank	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
1	California	21	10,100	243,800	4.14%
2	Kansas	4	9,800	332,900	2.94%
3	Wisconsin	6	9,900	353,600	2.80%
4	Nebraska	3	8,500	305,600	2.78%
5	California	16	6,700	244,900	2.74%
6	California	17	9,300	346,100	2.69%
7	Washington	4	7,600	284,500	2.67%
8	California	20	8,000	302,500	2.64%
9	Minnesota	7	8,400	328,700	2.56%
10	Ohio	4	8,000	317,900	2.52%
11	Wisconsin	5	9,300	370,600	2.51%
12	Illinois	17	7,800	311,700	2.50%
13	Indiana	2	7,900	317,800	2.49%
14	Wisconsin	8	9,000	362,800	2.48%
15	Michigan	6	7,700	310,400	2.48%
16	Iowa	1	9,700	392,300	2.47%
17	Indiana	3	8,000	327,000	2.45%
18	Ohio	8	8,000	328,800	2.43%
19	Michigan	10	7,400	308,700	2.40%
20	Wisconsin	1	8,200	342,500	2.39%
21	Iowa	4	9,100	382,300	2.38%
22	Ohio	14	8,300	349,700	2.37%
23	Indiana	6	7,400	311,900	2.37%
24	California	26	7,700	325,900	2.36%
25	Wisconsin	7	7,900	338,400	2.33%
26	Illinois	16	7,700	330,800	2.33%
27	Washington	8	7,400	318,000	2.33%
28	California	19	7,500	324,000	2.31%
29	Ohio	7	7,500	326,800	2.29%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 1 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER LOW-IMPACT SCENARIO, RANKED BY JOB SHARES

Rank	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
30	Washington	2	7,300	318,900	2.29%
31	Michigan	2	7,200	315,900	2.28%
32	Iowa	2	8,500	373,400	2.28%
33	Ohio	5	7,600	334,200	2.27%
34	Kansas	1	7,800	345,900	2.25%
35	Minnesota	1	7,800	348,200	2.24%
36	California	18	7,700	344,500	2.24%
37	South Carolina	3	5,900	264,500	2.23%
38	South Dakota	Statewide	9,200	415,600	2.21%
39	Minnesota	3	7,800	353,800	2.20%
40	Arkansas	1	6,100	277,400	2.20%
41	Idaho	2	7,800	355,000	2.20%
42	Washington	1	7,300	332,300	2.20%
43	Illinois	18	7,400	337,500	2.19%
44	Indiana	4	7,200	328,500	2.19%
45	Indiana	1	6,800	310,600	2.19%
46	California	22	6,300	289,600	2.18%
47	California	24	7,000	323,500	2.16%
48	Pennsylvania	3	6,800	317,700	2.14%
49	Minnesota	6	7,400	348,700	2.12%
50	Ohio	6	6,100	292,300	2.09%
51	Ohio	16	7,400	355,600	2.08%
52	Illinois	14	7,300	351,000	2.08%
53	Michigan	7	6,200	299,100	2.07%
54	Oregon	2	6,500	314,200	2.07%
55	Oregon	1	7,800	377,200	2.07%
56	Wisconsin	3	7,300	353,500	2.07%
57	Michigan	3	6,500	315,300	2.06%
58	Alabama	5	6,400	311,900	2.05%
59	Tennessee	8	6,100	299,200	2.04%
60	Connecticut	5	7,100	348,300	2.04%

**SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 1 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER LOW-IMPACT SCENARIO, RANKED BY JOB SHARES**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Jobs created (rounded)</b>	<b>District employment in 2011 (rounded)</b>	<b>Jobs created as a share of district employment</b>
61	Arkansas	4	6,000	295,100	2.03%
62	Texas	36	5,900	291,900	2.02%
63	Washington	9	6,900	341,400	2.02%
64	Texas	12	6,800	337,500	2.01%
65	Illinois	10	6,500	324,800	2.00%
66	Massachusetts	3	7,100	355,400	2.00%
67	North Dakota	Statewide	7,400	370,800	2.00%
68	California	50	5,900	296,200	1.99%
69	Michigan	4	5,700	286,300	1.99%
70	Connecticut	2	6,900	348,600	1.98%
71	Alabama	4	5,200	262,900	1.98%
72	Ohio	9	6,200	315,000	1.97%
73	Illinois	8	7,200	366,300	1.97%
74	Pennsylvania	5	6,200	316,800	1.96%
75	Pennsylvania	16	6,400	327,700	1.95%
76	Illinois	6	6,900	355,600	1.94%
77	Ohio	13	6,200	320,400	1.94%
78	Michigan	9	6,300	326,100	1.93%
79	Florida	17	4,800	248,700	1.93%
80	Michigan	11	6,600	342,100	1.93%
81	Illinois	15	6,100	316,500	1.93%
82	New Hampshire	2	6,400	332,200	1.93%
83	South Carolina	5	5,300	275,200	1.93%
84	Tennessee	1	5,700	297,600	1.92%
85	Indiana	9	6,500	339,400	1.92%
86	Indiana	8	6,300	329,300	1.91%
87	California	10	5,300	277,200	1.91%
88	Oklahoma	3	6,300	329,900	1.91%
89	Missouri	8	5,700	298,500	1.91%
90	Minnesota	2	6,800	358,300	1.90%

**SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 1 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER LOW-IMPACT SCENARIO, RANKED BY JOB SHARES**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Jobs created (rounded)</b>	<b>District employment in 2011 (rounded)</b>	<b>Jobs created as a share of district employment</b>
91	Nebraska	1	6,100	321,700	1.90%
92	New York	27	6,400	337,800	1.89%
93	Oklahoma	2	5,500	290,300	1.89%
94	Pennsylvania	15	6,500	343,800	1.89%
95	Colorado	4	6,500	344,100	1.89%
96	Pennsylvania	7	6,400	339,700	1.88%
97	Wisconsin	4	5,800	308,000	1.88%
98	California	25	5,700	302,700	1.88%
99	Idaho	1	6,200	329,900	1.88%
100	New York	23	6,100	324,600	1.88%
101	Wisconsin	2	7,300	390,000	1.87%
102	Connecticut	3	6,600	352,700	1.87%
103	Washington	3	5,300	284,500	1.86%
104	South Carolina	4	5,600	301,000	1.86%
105	Georgia	14	5,400	290,700	1.86%
106	Pennsylvania	18	6,400	345,000	1.86%
107	Ohio	2	6,000	323,600	1.85%
108	Oklahoma	1	6,700	361,900	1.85%
109	Pennsylvania	6	6,700	362,300	1.85%
110	Missouri	2	7,000	378,600	1.85%
111	Texas	14	5,600	303,300	1.85%
112	Texas	13	5,700	309,000	1.84%
113	Missouri	3	6,800	370,000	1.84%
114	Illinois	4	6,000	326,600	1.84%
115	Arkansas	3	6,000	327,000	1.83%
116	Missouri	6	6,500	355,900	1.83%
117	Utah	1	5,700	312,400	1.82%
118	Kentucky	6	6,100	335,400	1.82%
119	Minnesota	5	6,400	352,000	1.82%
120	North Carolina	1	5,300	291,800	1.82%

**SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 1 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER LOW-IMPACT SCENARIO, RANKED BY JOB SHARES**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Jobs created (rounded)</b>	<b>District employment in 2011 (rounded)</b>	<b>Jobs created as a share of district employment</b>
121	Oregon	4	5,600	309,000	1.81%
122	New York	22	5,800	320,200	1.81%
123	Pennsylvania	4	6,200	342,900	1.81%
124	Pennsylvania	12	6,000	331,900	1.81%
125	Oregon	5	5,900	326,700	1.81%
126	California	45	6,400	354,400	1.81%
127	Ohio	1	6,000	332,300	1.81%
128	Connecticut	1	6,300	349,800	1.80%
129	Mississippi	2	4,800	266,900	1.80%
130	Kentucky	2	5,700	317,100	1.80%
131	Arizona	5	5,700	317,900	1.79%
132	Michigan	1	5,200	290,200	1.79%
133	Kentucky	1	5,100	284,800	1.79%
134	New Hampshire	1	6,300	352,600	1.79%
135	Texas	29	5,200	292,900	1.78%
136	South Carolina	6	4,500	253,500	1.78%
137	New York	24	5,800	327,300	1.77%
138	California	52	6,200	350,100	1.77%
139	Texas	26	6,500	368,300	1.76%
140	Pennsylvania	10	5,500	312,500	1.76%
141	Georgia	9	5,000	284,600	1.76%
142	Minnesota	4	5,900	336,000	1.76%
143	California	15	5,900	336,400	1.75%
144	Michigan	8	5,800	330,800	1.75%
145	Missouri	7	5,900	337,400	1.75%
146	Minnesota	8	5,300	303,400	1.75%
147	Ohio	11	4,800	275,200	1.74%
148	Virginia	9	5,200	298,400	1.74%
149	Kentucky	3	5,800	333,300	1.74%
150	Kentucky	4	5,800	333,500	1.74%

**SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 1 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER LOW-IMPACT SCENARIO, RANKED BY JOB SHARES**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Jobs created (rounded)</b>	<b>District employment in 2011 (rounded)</b>	<b>Jobs created as a share of district employment</b>
151	Michigan	5	4,600	264,800	1.74%
152	Michigan	13	4,000	230,700	1.73%
153	Pennsylvania	8	6,200	357,800	1.73%
154	Florida	8	4,900	283,400	1.73%
155	Ohio	10	5,400	312,800	1.73%
156	California	23	4,700	274,100	1.71%
157	Tennessee	7	4,900	285,800	1.71%
158	Iowa	3	6,700	390,800	1.71%
159	Texas	8	5,300	309,200	1.71%
160	Rhode Island	1	4,300	250,900	1.71%
161	Texas	1	5,100	297,700	1.71%
162	Georgia	2	4,300	251,200	1.71%
163	Vermont	Statewide	5,600	327,300	1.71%
164	Washington	7	6,500	380,000	1.71%
165	Montana	Statewide	8,200	480,000	1.71%
166	Tennessee	6	5,200	304,500	1.71%
167	Pennsylvania	9	5,200	304,800	1.71%
168	West Virginia	3	3,800	223,000	1.70%
169	Texas	4	5,100	299,300	1.70%
170	Texas	27	5,200	305,600	1.70%
171	Pennsylvania	11	5,600	329,300	1.70%
172	New York	25	5,700	335,400	1.70%
173	Arizona	1	4,500	264,900	1.70%
174	Texas	24	6,600	388,600	1.70%
175	Texas	3	6,300	371,200	1.70%
176	North Carolina	9	6,300	371,400	1.70%
177	Arizona	9	6,100	360,300	1.69%
178	Colorado	2	6,500	384,600	1.69%
179	Utah	4	5,600	331,500	1.69%
180	Michigan	12	5,300	313,800	1.69%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 1 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER LOW-IMPACT SCENARIO, RANKED BY JOB SHARES

Rank	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
181	North Carolina	13	5,900	349,900	1.69%
182	Massachusetts	2	6,000	356,500	1.68%
183	California	5	5,500	326,800	1.68%
184	Washington	5	4,900	291,500	1.68%
185	Georgia	1	4,800	286,100	1.68%
186	Kansas	2	5,700	339,900	1.68%
187	California	48	5,900	352,600	1.67%
188	California	9	4,600	275,300	1.67%
189	New Jersey	7	6,300	377,100	1.67%
190	Illinois	11	5,800	347,300	1.67%
191	Ohio	12	6,000	359,500	1.67%
192	North Carolina	10	5,400	324,000	1.67%
193	Texas	10	5,700	342,600	1.66%
194	West Virginia	1	4,300	258,700	1.66%
195	California	42	5,100	307,000	1.66%
196	Illinois	13	5,400	326,600	1.65%
197	Nevada	2	5,100	309,400	1.65%
198	Massachusetts	1	5,600	341,000	1.64%
199	Arizona	3	4,300	262,200	1.64%
200	Alabama	3	4,500	274,600	1.64%
201	Arizona	2	4,900	299,200	1.64%
202	South Carolina	2	5,000	305,600	1.64%
203	Texas	32	5,900	360,900	1.63%
204	South Carolina	1	4,900	299,800	1.63%
205	South Carolina	7	4,400	269,400	1.63%
206	Missouri	4	5,300	324,900	1.63%
207	Michigan	14	4,200	257,700	1.63%
208	California	36	4,100	251,900	1.63%



**SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 1 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER LOW-IMPACT SCENARIO, RANKED BY JOB SHARES**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Jobs created (rounded)</b>	<b>District employment in 2011 (rounded)</b>	<b>Jobs created as a share of district employment</b>
209	California	46	5,100	314,400	1.62%
210	Tennessee	4	5,100	314,500	1.62%
211	Indiana	5	5,800	357,700	1.62%
212	Illinois	2	4,500	278,200	1.62%
213	Louisiana	3	5,300	328,100	1.62%
214	Georgia	11	5,500	340,900	1.61%
215	New Mexico	2	4,400	273,100	1.61%
216	Georgia	3	4,600	285,800	1.61%
217	Texas	6	5,600	348,800	1.61%
218	Ohio	15	5,400	336,400	1.61%
219	Connecticut	4	5,500	343,000	1.60%
220	Mississippi	1	4,900	305,600	1.60%
221	Indiana	7	5,000	312,200	1.60%
222	Texas	18	4,900	306,400	1.60%
223	California	39	5,300	332,000	1.60%
224	Illinois	3	5,100	319,500	1.60%
225	Texas	5	4,800	300,800	1.60%
226	Illinois	12	4,800	301,000	1.59%
227	Delaware	Statewide	6,700	420,400	1.59%
228	North Carolina	11	4,700	295,400	1.59%
229	Alabama	1	4,500	283,000	1.59%
230	Texas	25	4,800	302,200	1.59%
231	Maine	1	5,400	340,400	1.59%
232	Massachusetts	6	5,900	372,000	1.59%
233	North Carolina	7	5,000	315,400	1.59%
234	Texas	33	4,500	283,900	1.59%
235	Arizona	4	3,700	233,500	1.58%
236	Illinois	5	6,300	397,600	1.58%
237	Tennessee	3	4,700	297,000	1.58%
238	Texas	19	4,900	310,700	1.58%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 1 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER LOW-IMPACT SCENARIO, RANKED BY JOB SHARES

Rank	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
239	Georgia	8	4,300	272,700	1.58%
240	Massachusetts	4	5,900	374,800	1.57%
241	New Jersey	5	5,600	356,100	1.57%
242	Utah	2	4,800	305,700	1.57%
243	California	3	4,500	286,600	1.57%
244	California	49	4,700	299,700	1.57%
245	Georgia	7	4,900	312,500	1.57%
246	Missouri	5	5,400	345,300	1.56%
247	New Jersey	12	5,500	352,400	1.56%
248	Arizona	7	4,400	282,300	1.56%
249	Louisiana	5	4,400	283,900	1.55%
250	Maryland	1	5,300	342,300	1.55%
251	California	51	4,000	258,600	1.55%
252	Georgia	12	4,300	278,200	1.55%
253	Mississippi	4	4,700	304,900	1.54%
254	Texas	7	5,800	376,300	1.54%
255	Colorado	3	5,100	331,400	1.54%
256	North Carolina	3	4,700	305,600	1.54%
257	Rhode Island	2	4,000	260,300	1.54%
258	Texas	2	5,600	364,600	1.54%
259	Pennsylvania	17	4,800	312,600	1.54%
260	Texas	22	5,400	352,500	1.53%
261	Georgia	10	4,400	287,400	1.53%
262	Virginia	6	5,200	339,900	1.53%
263	Virginia	4	5,000	327,900	1.52%
264	Georgia	6	5,500	361,200	1.52%
265	Texas	11	4,700	308,800	1.52%
266	Colorado	5	4,800	315,900	1.52%
267	Florida	6	4,300	283,200	1.52%
268	Alabama	2	4,200	276,900	1.52%
269	Mississippi	3	4,600	303,900	1.51%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 1 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER LOW-IMPACT SCENARIO, RANKED BY JOB SHARES

Rank	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
270	Kansas	3	5,600	370,300	1.51%
271	Florida	15	4,600	304,200	1.51%
272	North Carolina	5	4,900	324,500	1.51%
273	California	41	4,100	271,900	1.51%
274	New Jersey	11	5,400	358,800	1.51%
275	Pennsylvania	13	5,100	339,000	1.50%
276	California	1	3,900	260,300	1.50%
277	New York	19	4,900	327,300	1.50%
278	Arizona	8	4,500	301,700	1.49%
279	Florida	13	4,600	309,200	1.49%
280	Utah	3	4,600	311,200	1.48%
281	Arizona	6	5,400	366,000	1.48%
282	Massachusetts	5	5,700	387,400	1.47%
283	Tennessee	2	4,800	327,200	1.47%
284	Texas	28	3,900	266,300	1.46%
285	Oregon	3	5,600	383,300	1.46%
286	Alabama	7	3,700	253,500	1.46%
287	California	53	5,000	342,700	1.46%
288	Virginia	5	4,600	316,100	1.46%
289	Texas	31	4,700	323,000	1.46%
290	California	2	4,700	323,100	1.45%
291	California	43	4,400	302,800	1.45%
292	Washington	6	4,000	275,500	1.45%
293	California	29	4,400	303,700	1.45%
294	Wyoming	Statewide	4,200	290,000	1.45%
295	Alabama	6	4,600	318,400	1.44%
296	Georgia	4	4,500	311,700	1.44%
297	Tennessee	9	4,400	305,300	1.44%
298	Illinois	7	4,300	298,500	1.44%
299	New York	26	4,700	327,700	1.43%
300	California	33	5,200	364,200	1.43%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 1 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER LOW-IMPACT SCENARIO, RANKED BY JOB SHARES

Rank	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
301	California	4	4,200	294,200	1.43%
302	Texas	17	4,700	329,300	1.43%
303	Oklahoma	4	5,000	350,900	1.42%
304	Florida	4	4,700	329,900	1.42%
305	New Jersey	3	4,900	344,200	1.42%
306	California	11	4,600	324,200	1.42%
307	Florida	10	4,700	331,500	1.42%
308	Massachusetts	8	5,300	375,600	1.41%
309	New Mexico	1	4,400	311,900	1.41%
310	Florida	5	4,000	284,000	1.41%
311	Colorado	1	5,400	384,400	1.40%
312	Texas	34	3,400	242,200	1.40%
313	California	14	5,100	364,000	1.40%
314	Florida	7	4,500	322,500	1.40%
315	Florida	19	3,700	265,200	1.40%
316	Pennsylvania	14	4,500	323,200	1.39%
317	Missouri	1	4,600	331,500	1.39%
318	New Jersey	2	4,500	324,400	1.39%
319	Tennessee	5	4,900	353,400	1.39%
320	West Virginia	2	3,700	266,900	1.39%
321	North Carolina	2	4,200	303,800	1.38%
322	Colorado	6	5,100	369,600	1.38%
323	Colorado	7	5,000	362,500	1.38%
324	North Carolina	12	4,400	319,800	1.38%
325	California	47	4,500	327,600	1.37%
326	Washington	10	4,000	291,300	1.37%
327	Florida	26	4,600	335,600	1.37%
328	California	35	3,900	284,800	1.37%
329	Texas	30	4,000	292,300	1.37%
330	Kentucky	5	3,200	234,300	1.37%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 1 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER LOW-IMPACT SCENARIO, RANKED BY JOB SHARES

Rank	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
331	California	32	4,000	293,800	1.36%
332	Nebraska	2	4,300	316,300	1.36%
333	North Carolina	8	4,100	301,700	1.36%
334	New Jersey	1	4,600	339,200	1.36%
335	Louisiana	1	4,800	354,000	1.36%
336	New York	18	4,500	332,100	1.36%
337	Illinois	9	4,700	347,200	1.35%
338	Georgia	5	4,300	318,100	1.35%
339	Louisiana	4	4,200	311,100	1.35%
340	Florida	25	4,400	326,000	1.35%
341	Texas	9	4,400	326,400	1.35%
342	Virginia	7	4,900	364,600	1.34%
343	Georgia	13	4,200	312,800	1.34%
344	Florida	12	3,800	283,200	1.34%
345	New York	2	4,800	357,800	1.34%
346	Florida	16	3,700	276,100	1.34%
347	Hawaii	2	4,000	299,400	1.34%
348	Florida	3	3,700	277,000	1.34%
349	California	31	3,900	292,200	1.33%
350	Louisiana	6	4,900	367,800	1.33%
351	New Jersey	9	4,500	338,500	1.33%
352	New Jersey	6	4,700	353,600	1.33%
353	Virginia	10	5,000	376,400	1.33%
354	New York	21	4,100	309,200	1.33%
355	Texas	15	3,700	280,900	1.32%
356	Virginia	3	4,200	320,100	1.31%
357	North Carolina	4	4,600	350,900	1.31%
358	New York	1	4,500	343,300	1.31%
359	Florida	14	4,200	320,700	1.31%
360	Arkansas	2	4,400	336,300	1.31%

**SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 1 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER LOW-IMPACT SCENARIO, RANKED BY JOB SHARES**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Jobs created (rounded)</b>	<b>District employment in 2011 (rounded)</b>	<b>Jobs created as a share of district employment</b>
361	Massachusetts	9	4,600	352,300	1.31%
362	Florida	18	3,700	284,000	1.30%
363	New Mexico	3	3,700	284,800	1.30%
364	Florida	22	4,300	332,000	1.30%
365	Virginia	2	4,400	339,800	1.29%
366	Maryland	6	4,700	363,200	1.29%
367	Ohio	3	4,300	333,000	1.29%
368	Florida	20	3,900	302,100	1.29%
369	Maine	2	3,900	302,700	1.29%
370	Florida	11	2,800	217,400	1.29%
371	Florida	1	3,900	303,900	1.28%
372	Pennsylvania	1	3,500	273,300	1.28%
373	Virginia	1	4,500	352,400	1.28%
374	California	38	4,000	313,300	1.28%
375	Louisiana	2	4,200	329,000	1.28%
376	Illinois	1	3,700	290,200	1.27%
377	California	8	3,000	235,500	1.27%
378	Texas	21	4,600	361,200	1.27%
379	California	13	4,300	340,200	1.26%
380	Oklahoma	5	4,400	348,800	1.26%
381	New York	20	4,500	357,600	1.26%
382	California	7	3,900	313,200	1.25%
383	Pennsylvania	2	3,400	273,100	1.24%
384	Maryland	3	4,600	369,500	1.24%
385	Nevada	4	3,400	274,300	1.24%
386	Florida	23	4,200	339,900	1.24%
387	Maryland	7	3,900	315,700	1.24%
388	Florida	21	3,900	316,800	1.23%
389	Nevada	1	3,500	284,700	1.23%
390	Texas	35	3,900	318,200	1.23%
391	Maryland	8	4,900	400,100	1.22%
392	California	44	3,300	270,600	1.22%

**SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 1 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER LOW-IMPACT SCENARIO, RANKED BY JOB SHARES**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Jobs created (rounded)</b>	<b>District employment in 2011 (rounded)</b>	<b>Jobs created as a share of district employment</b>
393	Massachusetts	7	4,500	369,800	1.22%
394	California	6	3,500	288,300	1.21%
395	Florida	27	3,800	313,600	1.21%
396	Texas	23	3,500	289,700	1.21%
397	Florida	9	3,800	317,200	1.20%
398	Virginia	11	4,800	400,900	1.20%
399	New Jersey	4	3,900	326,400	1.19%
400	Texas	20	3,700	311,400	1.19%
401	California	12	4,700	399,400	1.18%
402	California	30	4,200	358,200	1.17%
403	Maryland	2	4,100	351,700	1.17%
404	Nevada	3	3,900	336,500	1.16%
405	Maryland	4	4,400	384,100	1.15%
406	North Carolina	6	3,900	341,800	1.14%
407	Virginia	8	4,800	423,700	1.13%
408	Alaska	Statewide	3,900	344,300	1.13%
409	Florida	2	3,400	301,500	1.13%
410	New Jersey	10	3,500	310,700	1.13%
411	New York	16	3,600	323,600	1.11%
412	New York	3	3,700	336,700	1.10%
413	Florida	24	3,200	293,400	1.09%
414	Maryland	5	4,000	368,200	1.09%
415	District of Columbia	District-wide	3,300	310,600	1.06%
416	New York	17	3,600	341,400	1.05%
417	New Jersey	8	3,900	371,000	1.05%
418	New York	4	3,600	342,500	1.05%
419	New York	12	4,400	418,800	1.05%
420	New York	13	3,300	317,200	1.04%
421	New York	15	2,600	255,900	1.02%
422	New York	10	3,600	360,300	1.00%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 1 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER LOW-IMPACT SCENARIO, RANKED BY JOB SHARES

Rank	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
423	Hawaii	1	3,200	330,100	0.97%
424	New York	9	3,100	324,900	0.95%
425	New York	5	3,200	336,200	0.95%
426	California	28	3,400	359,900	0.94%
427	New York	14	3,200	341,800	0.94%
428	California	27	3,100	332,200	0.93%
429	Texas	16	2,600	281,300	0.92%
430	New York	8	2,700	292,700	0.92%
431	New York	6	3,000	327,000	0.92%
432	California	37	2,900	335,600	0.86%
433	New York	11	2,700	317,500	0.85%
434	New York	7	1,900	322,200	0.59%
435	California	40	(800)	280,500	-0.29%
436	California	34	(2,100)	309,400	-0.68%
<b>Total**</b>			2,300,000	140,399,600	1.64%

\*The table estimates the effects of ending currency manipulation over three years, modeled as having begun in 2013. The low-impact scenario assumes ending currency manipulation would reduce the trade deficit by \$200 billion in 2015 relative to the trade deficit in 2012.

\*\*Totals may vary slightly due to rounding.

**Source:** Author's analysis of the American Community Survey (U.S. Census Bureau 2013), U.S. International Trade Commission (2013), Congressional Budget Office (2013a and 2013b), Bivens (2011), Bivens and Edwards (2010), Kondo and Svec (2009, 10), Bureau of Labor Statistics (2013d), Bureau of Labor Statistics Employment Projections program (BLS-EP 2011a and 2011b), and Zandi (2011). For a more detailed explanation of data sources and computations, see text and the appendix.



SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 2

Net U.S. jobs created by eliminating currency manipulation, by congressional district, low-impact scenario, 2015 (in alphabetical order)\*

Rank (in order of jobs created as a share of district employment)	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
229	Alabama	1	4,500	283,000	1.59%
268	Alabama	2	4,200	276,900	1.52%
200	Alabama	3	4,500	274,600	1.64%
71	Alabama	4	5,200	262,900	1.98%
58	Alabama	5	6,400	311,900	2.05%
295	Alabama	6	4,600	318,400	1.44%
286	Alabama	7	3,700	253,500	1.46%
408	Alaska	Statewide	3,900	344,300	1.13%
173	Arizona	1	4,500	264,900	1.70%
201	Arizona	2	4,900	299,200	1.64%
199	Arizona	3	4,300	262,200	1.64%
235	Arizona	4	3,700	233,500	1.58%
131	Arizona	5	5,700	317,900	1.79%
281	Arizona	6	5,400	366,000	1.48%
248	Arizona	7	4,400	282,300	1.56%
278	Arizona	8	4,500	301,700	1.49%
177	Arizona	9	6,100	360,300	1.69%
40	Arkansas	1	6,100	277,400	2.20%
360	Arkansas	2	4,400	336,300	1.31%
115	Arkansas	3	6,000	327,000	1.83%
61	Arkansas	4	6,000	295,100	2.03%
276	California	1	3,900	260,300	1.50%
290	California	2	4,700	323,100	1.45%
243	California	3	4,500	286,600	1.57%
301	California	4	4,200	294,200	1.43%
183	California	5	5,500	326,800	1.68%
394	California	6	3,500	288,300	1.21%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 2 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER LOW-IMPACT SCENARIO, IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER

Rank (in order of jobs created as a share of district employment)	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
382	California	7	3,900	313,200	1.25%
377	California	8	3,000	235,500	1.27%
188	California	9	4,600	275,300	1.67%
87	California	10	5,300	277,200	1.91%
306	California	11	4,600	324,200	1.42%
401	California	12	4,700	399,400	1.18%
379	California	13	4,300	340,200	1.26%
313	California	14	5,100	364,000	1.40%
143	California	15	5,900	336,400	1.75%
5	California	16	6,700	244,900	2.74%
6	California	17	9,300	346,100	2.69%
36	California	18	7,700	344,500	2.24%
28	California	19	7,500	324,000	2.31%
8	California	20	8,000	302,500	2.64%
1	California	21	10,100	243,800	4.14%
46	California	22	6,300	289,600	2.18%
156	California	23	4,700	274,100	1.71%
47	California	24	7,000	323,500	2.16%
98	California	25	5,700	302,700	1.88%
24	California	26	7,700	325,900	2.36%
428	California	27	3,100	332,200	0.93%
426	California	28	3,400	359,900	0.94%
293	California	29	4,400	303,700	1.45%
402	California	30	4,200	358,200	1.17%
349	California	31	3,900	292,200	1.33%
331	California	32	4,000	293,800	1.36%
300	California	33	5,200	364,200	1.43%
436	California	34	(2,100)	309,400	-0.68%
328	California	35	3,900	284,800	1.37%
208	California	36	4,100	251,900	1.63%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 2 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER LOW-IMPACT SCENARIO, IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER

Rank (in order of jobs created as a share of district employment)	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
432	California	37	2,900	335,600	0.86%
374	California	38	4,000	313,300	1.28%
223	California	39	5,300	332,000	1.60%
435	California	40	(800)	280,500	-0.29%
273	California	41	4,100	271,900	1.51%
195	California	42	5,100	307,000	1.66%
291	California	43	4,400	302,800	1.45%
392	California	44	3,300	270,600	1.22%
126	California	45	6,400	354,400	1.81%
209	California	46	5,100	314,400	1.62%
325	California	47	4,500	327,600	1.37%
187	California	48	5,900	352,600	1.67%
244	California	49	4,700	299,700	1.57%
68	California	50	5,900	296,200	1.99%
251	California	51	4,000	258,600	1.55%
138	California	52	6,200	350,100	1.77%
287	California	53	5,000	342,700	1.46%
311	Colorado	1	5,400	384,400	1.40%
178	Colorado	2	6,500	384,600	1.69%
255	Colorado	3	5,100	331,400	1.54%
95	Colorado	4	6,500	344,100	1.89%
266	Colorado	5	4,800	315,900	1.52%
322	Colorado	6	5,100	369,600	1.38%
323	Colorado	7	5,000	362,500	1.38%
128	Connecticut	1	6,300	349,800	1.80%
70	Connecticut	2	6,900	348,600	1.98%
102	Connecticut	3	6,600	352,700	1.87%
219	Connecticut	4	5,500	343,000	1.60%
60	Connecticut	5	7,100	348,300	2.04%
415	District of Columbia	District-wide	3,300	310,600	1.06%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 2 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER LOW-IMPACT SCENARIO, IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER

Rank (in order of jobs created as a share of district employment)	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
227	Delaware	Statewide	6,700	420,400	1.59%
371	Florida	1	3,900	303,900	1.28%
409	Florida	2	3,400	301,500	1.13%
348	Florida	3	3,700	277,000	1.34%
304	Florida	4	4,700	329,900	1.42%
310	Florida	5	4,000	284,000	1.41%
267	Florida	6	4,300	283,200	1.52%
314	Florida	7	4,500	322,500	1.40%
154	Florida	8	4,900	283,400	1.73%
397	Florida	9	3,800	317,200	1.20%
307	Florida	10	4,700	331,500	1.42%
370	Florida	11	2,800	217,400	1.29%
344	Florida	12	3,800	283,200	1.34%
279	Florida	13	4,600	309,200	1.49%
359	Florida	14	4,200	320,700	1.31%
271	Florida	15	4,600	304,200	1.51%
346	Florida	16	3,700	276,100	1.34%
79	Florida	17	4,800	248,700	1.93%
362	Florida	18	3,700	284,000	1.30%
315	Florida	19	3,700	265,200	1.40%
368	Florida	20	3,900	302,100	1.29%
388	Florida	21	3,900	316,800	1.23%
364	Florida	22	4,300	332,000	1.30%
386	Florida	23	4,200	339,900	1.24%
413	Florida	24	3,200	293,400	1.09%
340	Florida	25	4,400	326,000	1.35%
327	Florida	26	4,600	335,600	1.37%
395	Florida	27	3,800	313,600	1.21%
185	Georgia	1	4,800	286,100	1.68%
162	Georgia	2	4,300	251,200	1.71%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 2 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER LOW-IMPACT SCENARIO, IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER

Rank (in order of jobs created as a share of district employment)	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
216	Georgia	3	4,600	285,800	1.61%
296	Georgia	4	4,500	311,700	1.44%
338	Georgia	5	4,300	318,100	1.35%
264	Georgia	6	5,500	361,200	1.52%
245	Georgia	7	4,900	312,500	1.57%
239	Georgia	8	4,300	272,700	1.58%
141	Georgia	9	5,000	284,600	1.76%
261	Georgia	10	4,400	287,400	1.53%
214	Georgia	11	5,500	340,900	1.61%
252	Georgia	12	4,300	278,200	1.55%
343	Georgia	13	4,200	312,800	1.34%
105	Georgia	14	5,400	290,700	1.86%
423	Hawaii	1	3,200	330,100	0.97%
347	Hawaii	2	4,000	299,400	1.34%
99	Idaho	1	6,200	329,900	1.88%
41	Idaho	2	7,800	355,000	2.20%
376	Illinois	1	3,700	290,200	1.27%
212	Illinois	2	4,500	278,200	1.62%
224	Illinois	3	5,100	319,500	1.60%
114	Illinois	4	6,000	326,600	1.84%
236	Illinois	5	6,300	397,600	1.58%
76	Illinois	6	6,900	355,600	1.94%
298	Illinois	7	4,300	298,500	1.44%
73	Illinois	8	7,200	366,300	1.97%
337	Illinois	9	4,700	347,200	1.35%
65	Illinois	10	6,500	324,800	2.00%
190	Illinois	11	5,800	347,300	1.67%
226	Illinois	12	4,800	301,000	1.59%
196	Illinois	13	5,400	326,600	1.65%
52	Illinois	14	7,300	351,000	2.08%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 2 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER LOW-IMPACT SCENARIO, IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER

Rank (in order of jobs created as a share of district employment)	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
81	Illinois	15	6,100	316,500	1.93%
26	Illinois	16	7,700	330,800	2.33%
12	Illinois	17	7,800	311,700	2.50%
43	Illinois	18	7,400	337,500	2.19%
45	Indiana	1	6,800	310,600	2.19%
13	Indiana	2	7,900	317,800	2.49%
17	Indiana	3	8,000	327,000	2.45%
44	Indiana	4	7,200	328,500	2.19%
211	Indiana	5	5,800	357,700	1.62%
23	Indiana	6	7,400	311,900	2.37%
221	Indiana	7	5,000	312,200	1.60%
86	Indiana	8	6,300	329,300	1.91%
85	Indiana	9	6,500	339,400	1.92%
16	Iowa	1	9,700	392,300	2.47%
32	Iowa	2	8,500	373,400	2.28%
158	Iowa	3	6,700	390,800	1.71%
21	Iowa	4	9,100	382,300	2.38%
34	Kansas	1	7,800	345,900	2.25%
186	Kansas	2	5,700	339,900	1.68%
270	Kansas	3	5,600	370,300	1.51%
2	Kansas	4	9,800	332,900	2.94%
133	Kentucky	1	5,100	284,800	1.79%
130	Kentucky	2	5,700	317,100	1.80%
149	Kentucky	3	5,800	333,300	1.74%
150	Kentucky	4	5,800	333,500	1.74%
330	Kentucky	5	3,200	234,300	1.37%
118	Kentucky	6	6,100	335,400	1.82%
335	Louisiana	1	4,800	354,000	1.36%
375	Louisiana	2	4,200	329,000	1.28%
213	Louisiana	3	5,300	328,100	1.62%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 2 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER LOW-IMPACT SCENARIO, IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER

Rank (in order of jobs created as a share of district employment)	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
339	Louisiana	4	4,200	311,100	1.35%
249	Louisiana	5	4,400	283,900	1.55%
350	Louisiana	6	4,900	367,800	1.33%
231	Maine	1	5,400	340,400	1.59%
369	Maine	2	3,900	302,700	1.29%
250	Maryland	1	5,300	342,300	1.55%
403	Maryland	2	4,100	351,700	1.17%
384	Maryland	3	4,600	369,500	1.24%
405	Maryland	4	4,400	384,100	1.15%
414	Maryland	5	4,000	368,200	1.09%
366	Maryland	6	4,700	363,200	1.29%
387	Maryland	7	3,900	315,700	1.24%
391	Maryland	8	4,900	400,100	1.22%
198	Massachusetts	1	5,600	341,000	1.64%
182	Massachusetts	2	6,000	356,500	1.68%
66	Massachusetts	3	7,100	355,400	2.00%
240	Massachusetts	4	5,900	374,800	1.57%
282	Massachusetts	5	5,700	387,400	1.47%
232	Massachusetts	6	5,900	372,000	1.59%
393	Massachusetts	7	4,500	369,800	1.22%
308	Massachusetts	8	5,300	375,600	1.41%
361	Massachusetts	9	4,600	352,300	1.31%
132	Michigan	1	5,200	290,200	1.79%
31	Michigan	2	7,200	315,900	2.28%
57	Michigan	3	6,500	315,300	2.06%
69	Michigan	4	5,700	286,300	1.99%
151	Michigan	5	4,600	264,800	1.74%
15	Michigan	6	7,700	310,400	2.48%
53	Michigan	7	6,200	299,100	2.07%
144	Michigan	8	5,800	330,800	1.75%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 2 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER LOW-IMPACT SCENARIO, IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER

Rank (in order of jobs created as a share of district employment)	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
78	Michigan	9	6,300	326,100	1.93%
19	Michigan	10	7,400	308,700	2.40%
80	Michigan	11	6,600	342,100	1.93%
180	Michigan	12	5,300	313,800	1.69%
152	Michigan	13	4,000	230,700	1.73%
207	Michigan	14	4,200	257,700	1.63%
35	Minnesota	1	7,800	348,200	2.24%
90	Minnesota	2	6,800	358,300	1.90%
39	Minnesota	3	7,800	353,800	2.20%
142	Minnesota	4	5,900	336,000	1.76%
119	Minnesota	5	6,400	352,000	1.82%
49	Minnesota	6	7,400	348,700	2.12%
9	Minnesota	7	8,400	328,700	2.56%
146	Minnesota	8	5,300	303,400	1.75%
220	Mississippi	1	4,900	305,600	1.60%
129	Mississippi	2	4,800	266,900	1.80%
269	Mississippi	3	4,600	303,900	1.51%
253	Mississippi	4	4,700	304,900	1.54%
317	Missouri	1	4,600	331,500	1.39%
110	Missouri	2	7,000	378,600	1.85%
113	Missouri	3	6,800	370,000	1.84%
206	Missouri	4	5,300	324,900	1.63%
246	Missouri	5	5,400	345,300	1.56%
116	Missouri	6	6,500	355,900	1.83%
145	Missouri	7	5,900	337,400	1.75%
89	Missouri	8	5,700	298,500	1.91%
165	Montana	Statewide	8,200	480,000	1.71%
91	Nebraska	1	6,100	321,700	1.90%
332	Nebraska	2	4,300	316,300	1.36%
4	Nebraska	3	8,500	305,600	2.78%



SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 2 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER LOW-IMPACT SCENARIO, IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER

Rank (in order of jobs created as a share of district employment)	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
389	Nevada	1	3,500	284,700	1.23%
197	Nevada	2	5,100	309,400	1.65%
404	Nevada	3	3,900	336,500	1.16%
385	Nevada	4	3,400	274,300	1.24%
134	New Hampshire	1	6,300	352,600	1.79%
82	New Hampshire	2	6,400	332,200	1.93%
334	New Jersey	1	4,600	339,200	1.36%
318	New Jersey	2	4,500	324,400	1.39%
305	New Jersey	3	4,900	344,200	1.42%
399	New Jersey	4	3,900	326,400	1.19%
241	New Jersey	5	5,600	356,100	1.57%
352	New Jersey	6	4,700	353,600	1.33%
189	New Jersey	7	6,300	377,100	1.67%
417	New Jersey	8	3,900	371,000	1.05%
351	New Jersey	9	4,500	338,500	1.33%
410	New Jersey	10	3,500	310,700	1.13%
274	New Jersey	11	5,400	358,800	1.51%
247	New Jersey	12	5,500	352,400	1.56%
309	New Mexico	1	4,400	311,900	1.41%
215	New Mexico	2	4,400	273,100	1.61%
363	New Mexico	3	3,700	284,800	1.30%
358	New York	1	4,500	343,300	1.31%
345	New York	2	4,800	357,800	1.34%
412	New York	3	3,700	336,700	1.10%
418	New York	4	3,600	342,500	1.05%
425	New York	5	3,200	336,200	0.95%
431	New York	6	3,000	327,000	0.92%
434	New York	7	1,900	322,200	0.59%
430	New York	8	2,700	292,700	0.92%
424	New York	9	3,100	324,900	0.95%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 2 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER LOW-IMPACT SCENARIO, IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER

Rank (in order of jobs created as a share of district employment)	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
422	New York	10	3,600	360,300	1.00%
433	New York	11	2,700	317,500	0.85%
419	New York	12	4,400	418,800	1.05%
420	New York	13	3,300	317,200	1.04%
427	New York	14	3,200	341,800	0.94%
421	New York	15	2,600	255,900	1.02%
411	New York	16	3,600	323,600	1.11%
416	New York	17	3,600	341,400	1.05%
336	New York	18	4,500	332,100	1.36%
277	New York	19	4,900	327,300	1.50%
381	New York	20	4,500	357,600	1.26%
354	New York	21	4,100	309,200	1.33%
122	New York	22	5,800	320,200	1.81%
100	New York	23	6,100	324,600	1.88%
137	New York	24	5,800	327,300	1.77%
172	New York	25	5,700	335,400	1.70%
299	New York	26	4,700	327,700	1.43%
92	New York	27	6,400	337,800	1.89%
120	North Carolina	1	5,300	291,800	1.82%
321	North Carolina	2	4,200	303,800	1.38%
256	North Carolina	3	4,700	305,600	1.54%
357	North Carolina	4	4,600	350,900	1.31%
272	North Carolina	5	4,900	324,500	1.51%
406	North Carolina	6	3,900	341,800	1.14%
233	North Carolina	7	5,000	315,400	1.59%
333	North Carolina	8	4,100	301,700	1.36%
176	North Carolina	9	6,300	371,400	1.70%
192	North Carolina	10	5,400	324,000	1.67%
228	North Carolina	11	4,700	295,400	1.59%
324	North Carolina	12	4,400	319,800	1.38%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 2 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER LOW-IMPACT SCENARIO, IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER

Rank (in order of jobs created as a share of district employment)	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
181	North Carolina	13	5,900	349,900	1.69%
67	North Dakota	Statewide	7,400	370,800	2.00%
127	Ohio	1	6,000	332,300	1.81%
107	Ohio	2	6,000	323,600	1.85%
367	Ohio	3	4,300	333,000	1.29%
10	Ohio	4	8,000	317,900	2.52%
33	Ohio	5	7,600	334,200	2.27%
50	Ohio	6	6,100	292,300	2.09%
29	Ohio	7	7,500	326,800	2.29%
18	Ohio	8	8,000	328,800	2.43%
72	Ohio	9	6,200	315,000	1.97%
155	Ohio	10	5,400	312,800	1.73%
147	Ohio	11	4,800	275,200	1.74%
191	Ohio	12	6,000	359,500	1.67%
77	Ohio	13	6,200	320,400	1.94%
22	Ohio	14	8,300	349,700	2.37%
218	Ohio	15	5,400	336,400	1.61%
51	Ohio	16	7,400	355,600	2.08%
108	Oklahoma	1	6,700	361,900	1.85%
93	Oklahoma	2	5,500	290,300	1.89%
88	Oklahoma	3	6,300	329,900	1.91%
303	Oklahoma	4	5,000	350,900	1.42%
380	Oklahoma	5	4,400	348,800	1.26%
55	Oregon	1	7,800	377,200	2.07%
54	Oregon	2	6,500	314,200	2.07%
285	Oregon	3	5,600	383,300	1.46%
121	Oregon	4	5,600	309,000	1.81%
125	Oregon	5	5,900	326,700	1.81%
372	Pennsylvania	1	3,500	273,300	1.28%
383	Pennsylvania	2	3,400	273,100	1.24%

**SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 2 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER LOW-IMPACT SCENARIO, IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER**

<b>Rank (in order of jobs created as a share of district employment)</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Jobs created (rounded)</b>	<b>District employment in 2011 (rounded)</b>	<b>Jobs created as a share of district employment</b>
48	Pennsylvania	3	6,800	317,700	2.14%
123	Pennsylvania	4	6,200	342,900	1.81%
74	Pennsylvania	5	6,200	316,800	1.96%
109	Pennsylvania	6	6,700	362,300	1.85%
96	Pennsylvania	7	6,400	339,700	1.88%
153	Pennsylvania	8	6,200	357,800	1.73%
167	Pennsylvania	9	5,200	304,800	1.71%
140	Pennsylvania	10	5,500	312,500	1.76%
171	Pennsylvania	11	5,600	329,300	1.70%
124	Pennsylvania	12	6,000	331,900	1.81%
275	Pennsylvania	13	5,100	339,000	1.50%
316	Pennsylvania	14	4,500	323,200	1.39%
94	Pennsylvania	15	6,500	343,800	1.89%
75	Pennsylvania	16	6,400	327,700	1.95%
259	Pennsylvania	17	4,800	312,600	1.54%
106	Pennsylvania	18	6,400	345,000	1.86%
160	Rhode Island	1	4,300	250,900	1.71%
257	Rhode Island	2	4,000	260,300	1.54%
204	South Carolina	1	4,900	299,800	1.63%
202	South Carolina	2	5,000	305,600	1.64%
37	South Carolina	3	5,900	264,500	2.23%
104	South Carolina	4	5,600	301,000	1.86%
83	South Carolina	5	5,300	275,200	1.93%
136	South Carolina	6	4,500	253,500	1.78%
205	South Carolina	7	4,400	269,400	1.63%
38	South Dakota	Statewide	9,200	415,600	2.21%
84	Tennessee	1	5,700	297,600	1.92%
283	Tennessee	2	4,800	327,200	1.47%
237	Tennessee	3	4,700	297,000	1.58%
210	Tennessee	4	5,100	314,500	1.62%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 2 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER LOW-IMPACT SCENARIO, IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER

Rank (in order of jobs created as a share of district employment)	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
319	Tennessee	5	4,900	353,400	1.39%
166	Tennessee	6	5,200	304,500	1.71%
157	Tennessee	7	4,900	285,800	1.71%
59	Tennessee	8	6,100	299,200	2.04%
297	Tennessee	9	4,400	305,300	1.44%
161	Texas	1	5,100	297,700	1.71%
258	Texas	2	5,600	364,600	1.54%
175	Texas	3	6,300	371,200	1.70%
169	Texas	4	5,100	299,300	1.70%
225	Texas	5	4,800	300,800	1.60%
217	Texas	6	5,600	348,800	1.61%
254	Texas	7	5,800	376,300	1.54%
159	Texas	8	5,300	309,200	1.71%
341	Texas	9	4,400	326,400	1.35%
193	Texas	10	5,700	342,600	1.66%
265	Texas	11	4,700	308,800	1.52%
64	Texas	12	6,800	337,500	2.01%
112	Texas	13	5,700	309,000	1.84%
111	Texas	14	5,600	303,300	1.85%
355	Texas	15	3,700	280,900	1.32%
429	Texas	16	2,600	281,300	0.92%
302	Texas	17	4,700	329,300	1.43%
222	Texas	18	4,900	306,400	1.60%
238	Texas	19	4,900	310,700	1.58%
400	Texas	20	3,700	311,400	1.19%
378	Texas	21	4,600	361,200	1.27%
260	Texas	22	5,400	352,500	1.53%
396	Texas	23	3,500	289,700	1.21%
174	Texas	24	6,600	388,600	1.70%
230	Texas	25	4,800	302,200	1.59%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 2 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER LOW-IMPACT SCENARIO, IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER

Rank (in order of jobs created as a share of district employment)	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
139	Texas	26	6,500	368,300	1.76%
170	Texas	27	5,200	305,600	1.70%
284	Texas	28	3,900	266,300	1.46%
135	Texas	29	5,200	292,900	1.78%
329	Texas	30	4,000	292,300	1.37%
289	Texas	31	4,700	323,000	1.46%
203	Texas	32	5,900	360,900	1.63%
234	Texas	33	4,500	283,900	1.59%
312	Texas	34	3,400	242,200	1.40%
390	Texas	35	3,900	318,200	1.23%
62	Texas	36	5,900	291,900	2.02%
117	Utah	1	5,700	312,400	1.82%
242	Utah	2	4,800	305,700	1.57%
280	Utah	3	4,600	311,200	1.48%
179	Utah	4	5,600	331,500	1.69%
163	Vermont	Statewide	5,600	327,300	1.71%
373	Virginia	1	4,500	352,400	1.28%
365	Virginia	2	4,400	339,800	1.29%
356	Virginia	3	4,200	320,100	1.31%
263	Virginia	4	5,000	327,900	1.52%
288	Virginia	5	4,600	316,100	1.46%
262	Virginia	6	5,200	339,900	1.53%
342	Virginia	7	4,900	364,600	1.34%
407	Virginia	8	4,800	423,700	1.13%
148	Virginia	9	5,200	298,400	1.74%
353	Virginia	10	5,000	376,400	1.33%
398	Virginia	11	4,800	400,900	1.20%
42	Washington	1	7,300	332,300	2.20%
30	Washington	2	7,300	318,900	2.29%
103	Washington	3	5,300	284,500	1.86%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 2 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER LOW-IMPACT SCENARIO, IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER

Rank (in order of jobs created as a share of district employment)	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
7	Washington	4	7,600	284,500	2.67%
184	Washington	5	4,900	291,500	1.68%
292	Washington	6	4,000	275,500	1.45%
164	Washington	7	6,500	380,000	1.71%
27	Washington	8	7,400	318,000	2.33%
63	Washington	9	6,900	341,400	2.02%
326	Washington	10	4,000	291,300	1.37%
194	West Virginia	1	4,300	258,700	1.66%
320	West Virginia	2	3,700	266,900	1.39%
168	West Virginia	3	3,800	223,000	1.70%
20	Wisconsin	1	8,200	342,500	2.39%
101	Wisconsin	2	7,300	390,000	1.87%
56	Wisconsin	3	7,300	353,500	2.07%
97	Wisconsin	4	5,800	308,000	1.88%
11	Wisconsin	5	9,300	370,600	2.51%
3	Wisconsin	6	9,900	353,600	2.80%
25	Wisconsin	7	7,900	338,400	2.33%
14	Wisconsin	8	9,000	362,800	2.48%
294	Wyoming	Statewide	4,200	290,000	1.45%
<b>Total**</b>			2,300,000	140,399,600	1.64%

\*The table estimates the effects of ending currency manipulation over three years, modeled as having begun in 2013. The low-impact scenario assumes ending currency manipulation would reduce the trade deficit by \$200 billion in 2015 relative to the trade deficit in 2012.

\*\*Totals may vary slightly due to rounding.

**Source:** Author's analysis of U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey (2013), U.S. International Trade Commission (2013), Congressional Budget Office (2013a and 2013b), Bivens (2011), Bivens and Edwards (2010), Kondo and Svec (2009, 10), Bureau of Labor Statistics (2013d), Bureau of Labor Statistics Employment Projections program (BLS-EP 2011a and 2011b), and Zandi (2011). For a more detailed explanation of data sources and computations, see text and the appendix.

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 3

Net U.S. jobs created by eliminating currency manipulation, by congressional district, high-impact scenario, 2015 (ranked by jobs created as a share of district employment)\*

Rank	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
1	California	21	21,100	243,800	8.65%
2	California	17	24,400	346,100	7.05%
3	Wisconsin	6	23,800	353,600	6.73%
4	Indiana	3	20,800	327,000	6.36%
5	Kansas	4	21,000	332,900	6.31%
6	Indiana	2	20,000	317,800	6.29%
7	Ohio	4	19,700	317,900	6.20%
8	Nebraska	3	18,800	305,600	6.15%
9	Wisconsin	5	22,500	370,600	6.07%
10	California	16	14,700	244,900	6.00%
11	California	19	19,300	324,000	5.96%
12	Michigan	6	18,400	310,400	5.93%
13	Illinois	17	18,300	311,700	5.87%
14	Michigan	10	18,100	308,700	5.86%
15	California	20	17,700	302,500	5.85%
16	Wisconsin	8	21,200	362,800	5.84%
17	Minnesota	7	19,100	328,700	5.81%
18	Ohio	8	19,100	328,800	5.81%
19	Washington	4	16,500	284,500	5.80%
20	Iowa	1	22,700	392,300	5.79%
21	Wisconsin	1	19,800	342,500	5.78%
22	California	18	19,900	344,500	5.78%
23	Indiana	6	18,000	311,900	5.77%
24	Ohio	7	18,800	326,800	5.75%
25	Michigan	2	17,900	315,900	5.67%
26	Ohio	14	19,800	349,700	5.66%
27	Wisconsin	7	19,100	338,400	5.64%
28	South Carolina	3	14,900	264,500	5.63%
29	Ohio	5	18,700	334,200	5.60%



**SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 3 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER HIGH-IMPACT SCENARIO, RANKED BY JOB SHARES**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Jobs created (rounded)</b>	<b>District employment in 2011 (rounded)</b>	<b>Jobs created as a share of district employment</b>
30	Oregon	1	20,800	377,200	5.51%
31	California	26	17,900	325,900	5.49%
32	Illinois	16	18,100	330,800	5.47%
33	Minnesota	3	19,300	353,800	5.46%
34	Iowa	4	20,800	382,300	5.44%
35	Iowa	2	20,300	373,400	5.44%
36	Indiana	4	17,600	328,500	5.36%
37	Arkansas	1	14,800	277,400	5.34%
38	Minnesota	1	18,500	348,200	5.31%
39	Indiana	1	16,500	310,600	5.31%
40	Pennsylvania	3	16,700	317,700	5.26%
41	Alabama	4	13,700	262,900	5.21%
42	Michigan	7	15,500	299,100	5.18%
43	Kansas	1	17,900	345,900	5.17%
44	Michigan	3	16,300	315,300	5.17%
45	Massachusetts	3	18,200	355,400	5.12%
46	Ohio	16	18,200	355,600	5.12%
47	Ohio	6	14,900	292,300	5.10%
48	Washington	8	16,200	318,000	5.09%
49	Georgia	14	14,800	290,700	5.09%
50	Arkansas	4	15,000	295,100	5.08%
51	South Dakota	Statewide	21,100	415,600	5.08%
52	Minnesota	6	17,700	348,700	5.08%
53	Illinois	14	17,700	351,000	5.04%
54	Idaho	2	17,900	355,000	5.04%
55	Illinois	18	17,000	337,500	5.04%
56	Wisconsin	3	17,800	353,500	5.04%
57	Illinois	10	16,300	324,800	5.02%
58	Texas	12	16,900	337,500	5.01%
59	Illinois	6	17,800	355,600	5.01%
60	Alabama	5	15,600	311,900	5.00%
61	Michigan	11	17,100	342,100	5.00%

**SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 3 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER HIGH-IMPACT SCENARIO, RANKED BY JOB SHARES**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Jobs created (rounded)</b>	<b>District employment in 2011 (rounded)</b>	<b>Jobs created as a share of district employment</b>
62	Washington	1	16,600	332,300	5.00%
63	Ohio	13	16,000	320,400	4.99%
64	Washington	2	15,900	318,900	4.99%
65	Michigan	9	16,200	326,100	4.97%
66	Michigan	4	14,200	286,300	4.96%
67	Indiana	8	16,300	329,300	4.95%
68	California	24	16,000	323,500	4.95%
69	Oregon	2	15,500	314,200	4.93%
70	Pennsylvania	5	15,500	316,800	4.89%
71	Illinois	8	17,900	366,300	4.89%
72	Minnesota	2	17,500	358,300	4.88%
73	South Carolina	4	14,700	301,000	4.88%
74	Tennessee	8	14,600	299,200	4.88%
75	South Carolina	5	13,400	275,200	4.87%
76	California	22	14,100	289,600	4.87%
77	Ohio	9	15,200	315,000	4.83%
78	Kentucky	2	15,300	317,100	4.82%
79	Missouri	8	14,400	298,500	4.82%
80	Connecticut	5	16,800	348,300	4.82%
81	Pennsylvania	16	15,800	327,700	4.82%
82	Kentucky	1	13,700	284,800	4.81%
83	Wisconsin	4	14,800	308,000	4.81%
84	Texas	36	14,000	291,900	4.80%
85	Oklahoma	1	17,200	361,900	4.75%
86	Indiana	9	16,100	339,400	4.74%
87	Tennessee	1	14,100	297,600	4.74%
88	California	50	14,000	296,200	4.73%
89	New Hampshire	2	15,700	332,200	4.73%
90	Illinois	15	14,900	316,500	4.71%
91	Oklahoma	2	13,600	290,300	4.68%

**SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 3 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER HIGH-IMPACT SCENARIO, RANKED BY JOB SHARES**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Jobs created (rounded)</b>	<b>District employment in 2011 (rounded)</b>	<b>Jobs created as a share of district employment</b>
92	California	15	15,700	336,400	4.67%
93	Illinois	4	15,200	326,600	4.65%
94	Pennsylvania	15	16,000	343,800	4.65%
95	New York	23	15,100	324,600	4.65%
96	Colorado	4	16,000	344,100	4.65%
97	Arkansas	3	15,200	327,000	4.65%
98	California	46	14,600	314,400	4.64%
99	Washington	9	15,800	341,400	4.63%
100	Oklahoma	3	15,200	329,900	4.61%
101	Washington	3	13,100	284,500	4.60%
102	New York	27	15,500	337,800	4.59%
103	North Dakota	Statewide	17,000	370,800	4.58%
104	Pennsylvania	18	15,800	345,000	4.58%
105	North Carolina	10	14,800	324,000	4.57%
106	Pennsylvania	7	15,500	339,700	4.56%
107	Kentucky	6	15,300	335,400	4.56%
108	Connecticut	2	15,900	348,600	4.56%
109	Pennsylvania	6	16,500	362,300	4.55%
110	California	45	16,100	354,400	4.54%
111	California	48	16,000	352,600	4.54%
112	Michigan	8	15,000	330,800	4.53%
113	California	25	13,700	302,700	4.53%
114	Texas	3	16,800	371,200	4.53%
115	Missouri	2	17,100	378,600	4.52%
116	Tennessee	7	12,900	285,800	4.51%
117	Ohio	2	14,600	323,600	4.51%
118	Virginia	9	13,400	298,400	4.49%
119	Pennsylvania	12	14,900	331,900	4.49%
120	Wisconsin	2	17,500	390,000	4.49%
121	Idaho	1	14,800	329,900	4.49%
122	Nebraska	1	14,400	321,700	4.48%

**SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 3 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER HIGH-IMPACT SCENARIO, RANKED BY JOB SHARES**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Jobs created (rounded)</b>	<b>District employment in 2011 (rounded)</b>	<b>Jobs created as a share of district employment</b>
123	Kentucky	3	14,900	333,300	4.47%
124	Arizona	5	14,200	317,900	4.47%
125	Michigan	13	10,300	230,700	4.46%
126	Minnesota	5	15,700	352,000	4.46%
127	Texas	26	16,400	368,300	4.45%
128	Connecticut	3	15,700	352,700	4.45%
129	Utah	1	13,900	312,400	4.45%
130	Alabama	3	12,200	274,600	4.44%
131	Texas	29	13,000	292,900	4.44%
132	Texas	13	13,700	309,000	4.43%
133	Pennsylvania	4	15,200	342,900	4.43%
134	Missouri	3	16,400	370,000	4.43%
135	Pennsylvania	9	13,500	304,800	4.43%
136	California	52	15,500	350,100	4.43%
137	Georgia	9	12,600	284,600	4.43%
138	New Hampshire	1	15,600	352,600	4.42%
139	Ohio	1	14,700	332,300	4.42%
140	North Carolina	1	12,900	291,800	4.42%
141	Tennessee	4	13,900	314,500	4.42%
142	Missouri	7	14,900	337,400	4.42%
143	Pennsylvania	10	13,800	312,500	4.42%
144	Texas	2	16,100	364,600	4.42%
145	Missouri	6	15,700	355,900	4.41%
146	Kentucky	4	14,700	333,500	4.41%
147	California	10	12,200	277,200	4.40%
148	Tennessee	6	13,400	304,500	4.40%
149	Texas	8	13,600	309,200	4.40%
150	North Carolina	9	16,300	371,400	4.39%
151	Illinois	11	15,200	347,300	4.38%
152	Mississippi	1	13,300	305,600	4.35%

**SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 3 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER HIGH-IMPACT SCENARIO, RANKED BY JOB SHARES**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Jobs created (rounded)</b>	<b>District employment in 2011 (rounded)</b>	<b>Jobs created as a share of district employment</b>
153	Texas	32	15,700	360,900	4.35%
154	Minnesota	4	14,600	336,000	4.35%
155	Tennessee	3	12,900	297,000	4.34%
156	Florida	17	10,800	248,700	4.34%
157	Michigan	1	12,600	290,200	4.34%
158	New York	22	13,900	320,200	4.34%
159	Ohio	11	11,900	275,200	4.32%
160	Ohio	10	13,500	312,800	4.32%
161	New York	24	14,100	327,300	4.31%
162	Michigan	5	11,400	264,800	4.31%
163	Texas	7	16,200	376,300	4.31%
164	Rhode Island	1	10,800	250,900	4.30%
165	Oregon	4	13,300	309,000	4.30%
166	Michigan	12	13,500	313,800	4.30%
167	Texas	24	16,700	388,600	4.30%
168	Texas	33	12,200	283,900	4.30%
169	Connecticut	1	15,000	349,800	4.29%
170	North Carolina	5	13,900	324,500	4.28%
171	Mississippi	2	11,400	266,900	4.27%
172	Georgia	3	12,200	285,800	4.27%
173	California	23	11,700	274,100	4.27%
174	South Carolina	6	10,800	253,500	4.26%
175	North Carolina	13	14,900	349,900	4.26%
176	Oregon	5	13,900	326,700	4.25%
177	Texas	14	12,900	303,300	4.25%
178	Minnesota	8	12,900	303,400	4.25%
179	Pennsylvania	8	15,200	357,800	4.25%
180	Texas	6	14,800	348,800	4.24%
181	New York	25	14,200	335,400	4.23%
182	Utah	4	14,000	331,500	4.22%

**SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 3 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER HIGH-IMPACT SCENARIO, RANKED BY JOB SHARES**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Jobs created (rounded)</b>	<b>District employment in 2011 (rounded)</b>	<b>Jobs created as a share of district employment</b>
183	California	39	14,000	332,000	4.22%
184	New Jersey	7	15,900	377,100	4.22%
185	Texas	4	12,600	299,300	4.21%
186	Texas	10	14,400	342,600	4.20%
187	Texas	1	12,500	297,700	4.20%
188	North Carolina	11	12,400	295,400	4.20%
189	Texas	18	12,800	306,400	4.18%
190	California	49	12,500	299,700	4.17%
191	California	42	12,800	307,000	4.17%
192	Pennsylvania	11	13,700	329,300	4.16%
193	South Carolina	7	11,200	269,400	4.16%
194	Texas	27	12,700	305,600	4.16%
195	Vermont	Statewide	13,600	327,300	4.16%
196	Michigan	14	10,700	257,700	4.15%
197	Massachusetts	2	14,800	356,500	4.15%
198	North Carolina	2	12,600	303,800	4.15%
199	Texas	11	12,800	308,800	4.15%
200	Georgia	2	10,400	251,200	4.14%
201	California	44	11,200	270,600	4.14%
202	Colorado	2	15,900	384,600	4.13%
203	Kansas	2	14,000	339,900	4.12%
204	North Carolina	8	12,400	301,700	4.11%
205	California	35	11,700	284,800	4.11%
206	New Jersey	5	14,600	356,100	4.10%
207	Florida	8	11,600	283,400	4.09%
208	Indiana	5	14,600	357,700	4.08%
209	Arizona	9	14,700	360,300	4.08%
210	California	5	13,300	326,800	4.07%
211	Iowa	3	15,900	390,800	4.07%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 3 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER HIGH-IMPACT SCENARIO, RANKED BY JOB SHARES

Rank	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
212	California	43	12,300	302,800	4.06%
213	Ohio	12	14,600	359,500	4.06%
214	West Virginia	1	10,500	258,700	4.06%
215	Washington	7	15,400	380,000	4.05%
216	California	32	11,900	293,800	4.05%
217	California	29	12,300	303,700	4.05%
218	Georgia	11	13,800	340,900	4.05%
219	Ohio	15	13,600	336,400	4.04%
220	Illinois	3	12,900	319,500	4.04%
221	Texas	25	12,200	302,200	4.04%
222	Indiana	7	12,600	312,200	4.04%
223	Georgia	7	12,600	312,500	4.03%
224	Pennsylvania	17	12,600	312,600	4.03%
225	Massachusetts	4	15,100	374,800	4.03%
226	South Carolina	2	12,300	305,600	4.02%
227	Missouri	4	13,000	324,900	4.00%
228	Montana	Statewide	19,200	480,000	4.00%
229	Illinois	5	15,900	397,600	4.00%
230	California	9	11,000	275,300	4.00%
231	Connecticut	4	13,700	343,000	3.99%
232	Louisiana	3	13,100	328,100	3.99%
233	Utah	2	12,200	305,700	3.99%
234	Georgia	12	11,100	278,200	3.99%
235	Illinois	2	11,100	278,200	3.99%
236	Texas	5	12,000	300,800	3.99%
237	Massachusetts	1	13,600	341,000	3.99%
238	Washington	5	11,600	291,500	3.98%
239	Nevada	2	12,300	309,400	3.98%
240	Texas	22	14,000	352,500	3.97%
241	South Carolina	1	11,900	299,800	3.97%

**SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 3 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER HIGH-IMPACT SCENARIO, RANKED BY JOB SHARES**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Jobs created (rounded)</b>	<b>District employment in 2011 (rounded)</b>	<b>Jobs created as a share of district employment</b>
242	Oregon	3	15,200	383,300	3.97%
243	Massachusetts	6	14,700	372,000	3.95%
244	West Virginia	3	8,800	223,000	3.95%
245	Alabama	2	10,900	276,900	3.94%
246	Arizona	7	11,100	282,300	3.93%
247	Arizona	3	10,300	262,200	3.93%
248	Pennsylvania	13	13,300	339,000	3.92%
249	Illinois	12	11,800	301,000	3.92%
250	Illinois	13	12,800	326,600	3.92%
251	Texas	31	12,600	323,000	3.90%
252	North Carolina	7	12,300	315,400	3.90%
253	California	33	14,200	364,200	3.90%
254	California	41	10,600	271,900	3.90%
255	Georgia	10	11,200	287,400	3.90%
256	Texas	19	12,100	310,700	3.89%
257	Arizona	1	10,300	264,900	3.89%
258	Georgia	8	10,600	272,700	3.89%
259	Missouri	5	13,400	345,300	3.88%
260	Maine	1	13,200	340,400	3.88%
261	Georgia	6	14,000	361,200	3.88%
262	New Jersey	11	13,900	358,800	3.87%
263	California	51	10,000	258,600	3.87%
264	California	38	12,100	313,300	3.86%
265	Delaware	Statewide	16,200	420,400	3.85%
266	California	36	9,700	251,900	3.85%
267	Mississippi	3	11,700	303,900	3.85%
268	Georgia	1	11,000	286,100	3.84%
269	New Mexico	2	10,500	273,100	3.84%
270	New Jersey	12	13,500	352,400	3.83%
271	Virginia	6	13,000	339,900	3.82%
272	Massachusetts	5	14,800	387,400	3.82%



**SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 3 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER HIGH-IMPACT SCENARIO, RANKED BY JOB SHARES**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Jobs created (rounded)</b>	<b>District employment in 2011 (rounded)</b>	<b>Jobs created as a share of district employment</b>
273	Florida	13	11,800	309,200	3.82%
274	Arizona	2	11,400	299,200	3.81%
275	Kansas	3	14,100	370,300	3.81%
276	Rhode Island	2	9,900	260,300	3.80%
277	Colorado	3	12,600	331,400	3.80%
278	Alabama	6	12,100	318,400	3.80%
279	Virginia	5	12,000	316,100	3.80%
280	California	14	13,800	364,000	3.79%
281	Alabama	7	9,600	253,500	3.79%
282	California	47	12,400	327,600	3.79%
283	Alabama	1	10,700	283,000	3.78%
284	North Carolina	6	12,900	341,800	3.77%
285	Mississippi	4	11,500	304,900	3.77%
286	Arizona	4	8,800	233,500	3.77%
287	Maryland	1	12,900	342,300	3.77%
288	Texas	17	12,400	329,300	3.77%
289	Tennessee	2	12,300	327,200	3.76%
290	Wyoming	Statewide	10,900	290,000	3.76%
291	Tennessee	9	11,400	305,300	3.73%
292	Louisiana	5	10,600	283,900	3.73%
293	California	3	10,700	286,600	3.73%
294	Oklahoma	4	13,100	350,900	3.73%
295	New York	19	12,200	327,300	3.73%
296	Utah	3	11,500	311,200	3.70%
297	Virginia	4	12,100	327,900	3.69%
298	North Carolina	12	11,800	319,800	3.69%
299	Illinois	9	12,800	347,200	3.69%
300	California	2	11,900	323,100	3.68%
301	New Jersey	6	13,000	353,600	3.68%
302	Colorado	5	11,600	315,900	3.67%

**SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 3 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER HIGH-IMPACT SCENARIO, RANKED BY JOB SHARES**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Jobs created (rounded)</b>	<b>District employment in 2011 (rounded)</b>	<b>Jobs created as a share of district employment</b>
303	Kentucky	5	8,600	234,300	3.67%
304	New Jersey	9	12,400	338,500	3.66%
305	Georgia	4	11,400	311,700	3.66%
306	Florida	15	11,100	304,200	3.65%
307	Florida	6	10,300	283,200	3.64%
308	Arizona	6	13,300	366,000	3.63%
309	North Carolina	3	11,100	305,600	3.63%
310	New York	26	11,900	327,700	3.63%
311	Massachusetts	8	13,600	375,600	3.62%
312	California	53	12,400	342,700	3.62%
313	Illinois	7	10,800	298,500	3.62%
314	Arizona	8	10,900	301,700	3.61%
315	California	1	9,400	260,300	3.61%
316	Louisiana	4	11,200	311,100	3.60%
317	New York	21	11,100	309,200	3.59%
318	Missouri	1	11,900	331,500	3.59%
319	Texas	9	11,700	326,400	3.58%
320	California	11	11,600	324,200	3.58%
321	Maine	2	10,800	302,700	3.57%
322	Colorado	1	13,700	384,400	3.56%
323	West Virginia	2	9,500	266,900	3.56%
324	Colorado	7	12,900	362,500	3.56%
325	Pennsylvania	14	11,500	323,200	3.56%
326	Tennessee	5	12,500	353,400	3.54%
327	California	4	10,400	294,200	3.54%
328	Florida	25	11,500	326,000	3.53%
329	California	13	12,000	340,200	3.53%
330	California	31	10,300	292,200	3.52%
331	Texas	30	10,300	292,300	3.52%
332	New York	2	12,600	357,800	3.52%
333	Florida	4	11,600	329,900	3.52%

**SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 3 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER HIGH-IMPACT SCENARIO, RANKED BY JOB SHARES**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Jobs created (rounded)</b>	<b>District employment in 2011 (rounded)</b>	<b>Jobs created as a share of district employment</b>
334	New Jersey	1	11,900	339,200	3.51%
335	Florida	10	11,600	331,500	3.50%
336	Texas	28	9,300	266,300	3.49%
337	Colorado	6	12,900	369,600	3.49%
338	New Jersey	3	12,000	344,200	3.49%
339	Georgia	13	10,900	312,800	3.48%
340	Washington	6	9,600	275,500	3.48%
341	Nebraska	2	11,000	316,300	3.48%
342	Oklahoma	5	12,100	348,800	3.47%
343	Texas	34	8,400	242,200	3.47%
344	Massachusetts	9	12,200	352,300	3.46%
345	New York	18	11,500	332,100	3.46%
346	Florida	5	9,800	284,000	3.45%
347	Florida	7	11,100	322,500	3.44%
348	California	30	12,300	358,200	3.43%
349	Florida	19	9,100	265,200	3.43%
350	Georgia	5	10,900	318,100	3.43%
351	Ohio	3	11,400	333,000	3.42%
352	New Jersey	8	12,700	371,000	3.42%
353	New Jersey	2	11,100	324,400	3.42%
354	Illinois	1	9,900	290,200	3.41%
355	New Mexico	3	9,700	284,800	3.41%
356	Virginia	10	12,800	376,400	3.40%
357	New Mexico	1	10,600	311,900	3.40%
358	Louisiana	1	12,000	354,000	3.39%
359	Texas	23	9,800	289,700	3.38%
360	Louisiana	6	12,400	367,800	3.37%
361	Florida	16	9,300	276,100	3.37%
362	Pennsylvania	1	9,200	273,300	3.37%
363	North Carolina	4	11,800	350,900	3.36%
364	Arkansas	2	11,300	336,300	3.36%

**SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 3 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER HIGH-IMPACT SCENARIO, RANKED BY JOB SHARES**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Jobs created (rounded)</b>	<b>District employment in 2011 (rounded)</b>	<b>Jobs created as a share of district employment</b>
365	Florida	12	9,500	283,200	3.35%
366	Florida	26	11,200	335,600	3.34%
367	Florida	14	10,700	320,700	3.34%
368	New York	1	11,400	343,300	3.32%
369	Florida	22	11,000	332,000	3.31%
370	California	12	13,200	399,400	3.30%
371	Washington	10	9,600	291,300	3.30%
372	Virginia	7	12,000	364,600	3.29%
373	Florida	20	9,900	302,100	3.28%
374	Texas	15	9,200	280,900	3.28%
375	Florida	18	9,200	284,000	3.24%
376	Texas	21	11,700	361,200	3.24%
377	California	8	7,600	235,500	3.23%
378	Maryland	6	11,700	363,200	3.22%
379	Florida	11	7,000	217,400	3.22%
380	Florida	3	8,900	277,000	3.21%
381	Nevada	1	9,100	284,700	3.20%
382	Florida	27	10,000	313,600	3.19%
383	Virginia	3	10,200	320,100	3.19%
384	Virginia	2	10,800	339,800	3.18%
385	Florida	23	10,800	339,900	3.18%
386	Texas	35	10,100	318,200	3.17%
387	Hawaii	2	9,500	299,400	3.17%
388	Louisiana	2	10,400	329,000	3.16%
389	California	7	9,900	313,200	3.16%
390	California	37	10,600	335,600	3.16%
391	New Jersey	4	10,300	326,400	3.16%
392	New York	20	11,200	357,600	3.13%
393	Florida	1	9,500	303,900	3.13%
394	Pennsylvania	2	8,500	273,100	3.11%
395	Massachusetts	7	11,500	369,800	3.11%
396	Maryland	7	9,800	315,700	3.10%

**SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 3 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER HIGH-IMPACT SCENARIO, RANKED BY JOB SHARES**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Jobs created (rounded)</b>	<b>District employment in 2011 (rounded)</b>	<b>Jobs created as a share of district employment</b>
397	New York	12	13,000	418,800	3.10%
398	California	27	10,300	332,200	3.10%
399	Florida	21	9,800	316,800	3.09%
400	New Jersey	10	9,600	310,700	3.09%
401	California	6	8,900	288,300	3.09%
402	Texas	20	9,600	311,400	3.08%
403	Virginia	1	10,800	352,400	3.06%
404	Nevada	4	8,400	274,300	3.06%
405	Florida	9	9,700	317,200	3.06%
406	Maryland	8	12,200	400,100	3.05%
407	Maryland	3	11,200	369,500	3.03%
408	California	28	10,900	359,900	3.03%
409	New York	16	9,800	323,600	3.03%
410	Maryland	2	10,600	351,700	3.01%
411	New York	3	10,100	336,700	3.00%
412	Alaska	Statewide	10,300	344,300	2.99%
413	Nevada	3	10,000	336,500	2.97%
414	Virginia	11	11,900	400,900	2.97%
415	New York	13	9,400	317,200	2.96%
416	New York	17	10,100	341,400	2.96%
417	New York	15	7,500	255,900	2.93%
418	Texas	16	8,200	281,300	2.92%
419	Florida	24	8,500	293,400	2.90%
420	Maryland	4	11,100	384,100	2.89%
421	New York	10	10,300	360,300	2.86%
422	Virginia	8	12,100	423,700	2.86%
423	Florida	2	8,600	301,500	2.85%
424	California	40	7,900	280,500	2.82%
425	New York	14	9,600	341,800	2.81%
426	New York	4	9,600	342,500	2.80%
427	New York	6	9,100	327,000	2.78%
428	New York	5	9,300	336,200	2.77%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 3 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER HIGH-IMPACT SCENARIO, RANKED BY JOB SHARES

Rank	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
429	Maryland	5	10,100	368,200	2.74%
430	New York	9	8,900	324,900	2.74%
431	New York	8	8,000	292,700	2.73%
432	New York	7	8,600	322,200	2.67%
433	New York	11	8,400	317,500	2.65%
434	District of Columbia	District-wide	8,200	310,600	2.64%
435	Hawaii	1	8,700	330,100	2.64%
436	California	34	6,300	309,400	2.04%
<b>Total**</b>			5,800,000	140,399,600	4.13%

\*The table estimates the effects of ending currency manipulation over three years, modeled as having begun in 2013. The high-impact scenario assumes ending currency manipulation would reduce the trade deficit by \$500 billion in 2015 relative to the trade deficit in 2012.

\*\*Totals may vary slightly due to rounding.

**Source:** Author's analysis of the American Community Survey (U.S. Census Bureau 2013), U.S. International Trade Commission (2013), Congressional Budget Office (2013a and 2013b), Bivens (2011), Bivens and Edwards (2010), Kondo and Svec (2009, 10), Bureau of Labor Statistics (2013d), Bureau of Labor Statistics Employment Projections program (BLS-EP 2011a and 2011b), and Zandi (2011). For a more detailed explanation of data sources and computations, see text and the appendix.

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 4

Net U.S. jobs created by eliminating currency manipulation, by congressional district, high-impact scenario, 2015 (in alphabetical order)\*

Rank (in order of jobs created as a share of district employment)	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
283	Alabama	1	10,700	283,000	3.78%
245	Alabama	2	10,900	276,900	3.94%
130	Alabama	3	12,200	274,600	4.44%
41	Alabama	4	13,700	262,900	5.21%
60	Alabama	5	15,600	311,900	5.00%
278	Alabama	6	12,100	318,400	3.80%
281	Alabama	7	9,600	253,500	3.79%
412	Alaska	Statewide	10,300	344,300	2.99%
257	Arizona	1	10,300	264,900	3.89%
274	Arizona	2	11,400	299,200	3.81%
247	Arizona	3	10,300	262,200	3.93%
286	Arizona	4	8,800	233,500	3.77%
124	Arizona	5	14,200	317,900	4.47%
308	Arizona	6	13,300	366,000	3.63%
246	Arizona	7	11,100	282,300	3.93%
314	Arizona	8	10,900	301,700	3.61%
209	Arizona	9	14,700	360,300	4.08%
37	Arkansas	1	14,800	277,400	5.34%
364	Arkansas	2	11,300	336,300	3.36%
97	Arkansas	3	15,200	327,000	4.65%
50	Arkansas	4	15,000	295,100	5.08%
315	California	1	9,400	260,300	3.61%
300	California	2	11,900	323,100	3.68%
293	California	3	10,700	286,600	3.73%
327	California	4	10,400	294,200	3.54%
210	California	5	13,300	326,800	4.07%
401	California	6	8,900	288,300	3.09%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 4 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER HIGH-IMPACT SCENARIO, IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER

Rank (in order of jobs created as a share of district employment)	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
389	California	7	9,900	313,200	3.16%
377	California	8	7,600	235,500	3.23%
230	California	9	11,000	275,300	4.00%
147	California	10	12,200	277,200	4.40%
320	California	11	11,600	324,200	3.58%
370	California	12	13,200	399,400	3.30%
329	California	13	12,000	340,200	3.53%
280	California	14	13,800	364,000	3.79%
92	California	15	15,700	336,400	4.67%
10	California	16	14,700	244,900	6.00%
2	California	17	24,400	346,100	7.05%
22	California	18	19,900	344,500	5.78%
11	California	19	19,300	324,000	5.96%
15	California	20	17,700	302,500	5.85%
1	California	21	21,100	243,800	8.65%
76	California	22	14,100	289,600	4.87%
173	California	23	11,700	274,100	4.27%
68	California	24	16,000	323,500	4.95%
113	California	25	13,700	302,700	4.53%
31	California	26	17,900	325,900	5.49%
398	California	27	10,300	332,200	3.10%
408	California	28	10,900	359,900	3.03%
217	California	29	12,300	303,700	4.05%
348	California	30	12,300	358,200	3.43%
330	California	31	10,300	292,200	3.52%
216	California	32	11,900	293,800	4.05%
253	California	33	14,200	364,200	3.90%
436	California	34	6,300	309,400	2.04%
205	California	35	11,700	284,800	4.11%
266	California	36	9,700	251,900	3.85%



SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 4 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER HIGH-IMPACT SCENARIO, IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER

Rank (in order of jobs created as a share of district employment)	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
390	California	37	10,600	335,600	3.16%
264	California	38	12,100	313,300	3.86%
183	California	39	14,000	332,000	4.22%
424	California	40	7,900	280,500	2.82%
254	California	41	10,600	271,900	3.90%
191	California	42	12,800	307,000	4.17%
212	California	43	12,300	302,800	4.06%
201	California	44	11,200	270,600	4.14%
110	California	45	16,100	354,400	4.54%
98	California	46	14,600	314,400	4.64%
282	California	47	12,400	327,600	3.79%
111	California	48	16,000	352,600	4.54%
190	California	49	12,500	299,700	4.17%
88	California	50	14,000	296,200	4.73%
263	California	51	10,000	258,600	3.87%
136	California	52	15,500	350,100	4.43%
312	California	53	12,400	342,700	3.62%
322	Colorado	1	13,700	384,400	3.56%
202	Colorado	2	15,900	384,600	4.13%
277	Colorado	3	12,600	331,400	3.80%
96	Colorado	4	16,000	344,100	4.65%
302	Colorado	5	11,600	315,900	3.67%
337	Colorado	6	12,900	369,600	3.49%
324	Colorado	7	12,900	362,500	3.56%
169	Connecticut	1	15,000	349,800	4.29%
108	Connecticut	2	15,900	348,600	4.56%
128	Connecticut	3	15,700	352,700	4.45%
231	Connecticut	4	13,700	343,000	3.99%
80	Connecticut	5	16,800	348,300	4.82%
434	DC	District-wide	8,200	310,600	2.64%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 4 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER HIGH-IMPACT SCENARIO, IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER

Rank (in order of jobs created as a share of district employment)	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
265	Delaware	Statewide	16,200	420,400	3.85%
393	Florida	1	9,500	303,900	3.13%
423	Florida	2	8,600	301,500	2.85%
380	Florida	3	8,900	277,000	3.21%
333	Florida	4	11,600	329,900	3.52%
346	Florida	5	9,800	284,000	3.45%
307	Florida	6	10,300	283,200	3.64%
347	Florida	7	11,100	322,500	3.44%
207	Florida	8	11,600	283,400	4.09%
405	Florida	9	9,700	317,200	3.06%
335	Florida	10	11,600	331,500	3.50%
379	Florida	11	7,000	217,400	3.22%
365	Florida	12	9,500	283,200	3.35%
273	Florida	13	11,800	309,200	3.82%
367	Florida	14	10,700	320,700	3.34%
306	Florida	15	11,100	304,200	3.65%
361	Florida	16	9,300	276,100	3.37%
156	Florida	17	10,800	248,700	4.34%
375	Florida	18	9,200	284,000	3.24%
349	Florida	19	9,100	265,200	3.43%
373	Florida	20	9,900	302,100	3.28%
399	Florida	21	9,800	316,800	3.09%
369	Florida	22	11,000	332,000	3.31%
385	Florida	23	10,800	339,900	3.18%
419	Florida	24	8,500	293,400	2.90%
328	Florida	25	11,500	326,000	3.53%
366	Florida	26	11,200	335,600	3.34%
382	Florida	27	10,000	313,600	3.19%
268	Georgia	1	11,000	286,100	3.84%
200	Georgia	2	10,400	251,200	4.14%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 4 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER HIGH-IMPACT SCENARIO, IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER

Rank (in order of jobs created as a share of district employment)	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
172	Georgia	3	12,200	285,800	4.27%
305	Georgia	4	11,400	311,700	3.66%
350	Georgia	5	10,900	318,100	3.43%
261	Georgia	6	14,000	361,200	3.88%
223	Georgia	7	12,600	312,500	4.03%
258	Georgia	8	10,600	272,700	3.89%
137	Georgia	9	12,600	284,600	4.43%
255	Georgia	10	11,200	287,400	3.90%
218	Georgia	11	13,800	340,900	4.05%
234	Georgia	12	11,100	278,200	3.99%
339	Georgia	13	10,900	312,800	3.48%
49	Georgia	14	14,800	290,700	5.09%
435	Hawaii	1	8,700	330,100	2.64%
387	Hawaii	2	9,500	299,400	3.17%
121	Idaho	1	14,800	329,900	4.49%
54	Idaho	2	17,900	355,000	5.04%
354	Illinois	1	9,900	290,200	3.41%
235	Illinois	2	11,100	278,200	3.99%
220	Illinois	3	12,900	319,500	4.04%
93	Illinois	4	15,200	326,600	4.65%
229	Illinois	5	15,900	397,600	4.00%
59	Illinois	6	17,800	355,600	5.01%
313	Illinois	7	10,800	298,500	3.62%
71	Illinois	8	17,900	366,300	4.89%
299	Illinois	9	12,800	347,200	3.69%
57	Illinois	10	16,300	324,800	5.02%
151	Illinois	11	15,200	347,300	4.38%
249	Illinois	12	11,800	301,000	3.92%
250	Illinois	13	12,800	326,600	3.92%
53	Illinois	14	17,700	351,000	5.04%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 4 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER HIGH-IMPACT SCENARIO, IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER

Rank (in order of jobs created as a share of district employment)	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
90	Illinois	15	14,900	316,500	4.71%
32	Illinois	16	18,100	330,800	5.47%
13	Illinois	17	18,300	311,700	5.87%
55	Illinois	18	17,000	337,500	5.04%
39	Indiana	1	16,500	310,600	5.31%
6	Indiana	2	20,000	317,800	6.29%
4	Indiana	3	20,800	327,000	6.36%
36	Indiana	4	17,600	328,500	5.36%
208	Indiana	5	14,600	357,700	4.08%
23	Indiana	6	18,000	311,900	5.77%
222	Indiana	7	12,600	312,200	4.04%
67	Indiana	8	16,300	329,300	4.95%
86	Indiana	9	16,100	339,400	4.74%
20	Iowa	1	22,700	392,300	5.79%
35	Iowa	2	20,300	373,400	5.44%
211	Iowa	3	15,900	390,800	4.07%
34	Iowa	4	20,800	382,300	5.44%
43	Kansas	1	17,900	345,900	5.17%
203	Kansas	2	14,000	339,900	4.12%
275	Kansas	3	14,100	370,300	3.81%
5	Kansas	4	21,000	332,900	6.31%
82	Kentucky	1	13,700	284,800	4.81%
78	Kentucky	2	15,300	317,100	4.82%
123	Kentucky	3	14,900	333,300	4.47%
146	Kentucky	4	14,700	333,500	4.41%
303	Kentucky	5	8,600	234,300	3.67%
107	Kentucky	6	15,300	335,400	4.56%
358	Louisiana	1	12,000	354,000	3.39%
388	Louisiana	2	10,400	329,000	3.16%
232	Louisiana	3	13,100	328,100	3.99%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 4 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER HIGH-IMPACT SCENARIO, IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER

Rank (in order of jobs created as a share of district employment)	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
316	Louisiana	4	11,200	311,100	3.60%
292	Louisiana	5	10,600	283,900	3.73%
360	Louisiana	6	12,400	367,800	3.37%
260	Maine	1	13,200	340,400	3.88%
321	Maine	2	10,800	302,700	3.57%
287	Maryland	1	12,900	342,300	3.77%
410	Maryland	2	10,600	351,700	3.01%
407	Maryland	3	11,200	369,500	3.03%
420	Maryland	4	11,100	384,100	2.89%
429	Maryland	5	10,100	368,200	2.74%
378	Maryland	6	11,700	363,200	3.22%
396	Maryland	7	9,800	315,700	3.10%
406	Maryland	8	12,200	400,100	3.05%
237	Massachusetts	1	13,600	341,000	3.99%
197	Massachusetts	2	14,800	356,500	4.15%
45	Massachusetts	3	18,200	355,400	5.12%
225	Massachusetts	4	15,100	374,800	4.03%
272	Massachusetts	5	14,800	387,400	3.82%
243	Massachusetts	6	14,700	372,000	3.95%
395	Massachusetts	7	11,500	369,800	3.11%
311	Massachusetts	8	13,600	375,600	3.62%
344	Massachusetts	9	12,200	352,300	3.46%
157	Michigan	1	12,600	290,200	4.34%
25	Michigan	2	17,900	315,900	5.67%
44	Michigan	3	16,300	315,300	5.17%
66	Michigan	4	14,200	286,300	4.96%
162	Michigan	5	11,400	264,800	4.31%
12	Michigan	6	18,400	310,400	5.93%
42	Michigan	7	15,500	299,100	5.18%
112	Michigan	8	15,000	330,800	4.53%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 4 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER HIGH-IMPACT SCENARIO, IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER

Rank (in order of jobs created as a share of district employment)	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
65	Michigan	9	16,200	326,100	4.97%
14	Michigan	10	18,100	308,700	5.86%
61	Michigan	11	17,100	342,100	5.00%
166	Michigan	12	13,500	313,800	4.30%
125	Michigan	13	10,300	230,700	4.46%
196	Michigan	14	10,700	257,700	4.15%
38	Minnesota	1	18,500	348,200	5.31%
72	Minnesota	2	17,500	358,300	4.88%
33	Minnesota	3	19,300	353,800	5.46%
154	Minnesota	4	14,600	336,000	4.35%
126	Minnesota	5	15,700	352,000	4.46%
52	Minnesota	6	17,700	348,700	5.08%
17	Minnesota	7	19,100	328,700	5.81%
178	Minnesota	8	12,900	303,400	4.25%
152	Mississippi	1	13,300	305,600	4.35%
171	Mississippi	2	11,400	266,900	4.27%
267	Mississippi	3	11,700	303,900	3.85%
285	Mississippi	4	11,500	304,900	3.77%
318	Missouri	1	11,900	331,500	3.59%
115	Missouri	2	17,100	378,600	4.52%
134	Missouri	3	16,400	370,000	4.43%
227	Missouri	4	13,000	324,900	4.00%
259	Missouri	5	13,400	345,300	3.88%
145	Missouri	6	15,700	355,900	4.41%
142	Missouri	7	14,900	337,400	4.42%
79	Missouri	8	14,400	298,500	4.82%
228	Montana	Statewide	19,200	480,000	4.00%
122	Nebraska	1	14,400	321,700	4.48%
341	Nebraska	2	11,000	316,300	3.48%
8	Nebraska	3	18,800	305,600	6.15%

**SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 4 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER HIGH-IMPACT SCENARIO, IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER**

<b>Rank (in order of jobs created as a share of district employment)</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Jobs created (rounded)</b>	<b>District employment in 2011 (rounded)</b>	<b>Jobs created as a share of district employment</b>
381	Nevada	1	9,100	284,700	3.20%
239	Nevada	2	12,300	309,400	3.98%
413	Nevada	3	10,000	336,500	2.97%
404	Nevada	4	8,400	274,300	3.06%
138	New Hampshire	1	15,600	352,600	4.42%
89	New Hampshire	2	15,700	332,200	4.73%
334	New Jersey	1	11,900	339,200	3.51%
353	New Jersey	2	11,100	324,400	3.42%
338	New Jersey	3	12,000	344,200	3.49%
391	New Jersey	4	10,300	326,400	3.16%
206	New Jersey	5	14,600	356,100	4.10%
301	New Jersey	6	13,000	353,600	3.68%
184	New Jersey	7	15,900	377,100	4.22%
352	New Jersey	8	12,700	371,000	3.42%
304	New Jersey	9	12,400	338,500	3.66%
400	New Jersey	10	9,600	310,700	3.09%
262	New Jersey	11	13,900	358,800	3.87%
270	New Jersey	12	13,500	352,400	3.83%
357	New Mexico	1	10,600	311,900	3.40%
269	New Mexico	2	10,500	273,100	3.84%
355	New Mexico	3	9,700	284,800	3.41%
368	New York	1	11,400	343,300	3.32%
332	New York	2	12,600	357,800	3.52%
411	New York	3	10,100	336,700	3.00%
426	New York	4	9,600	342,500	2.80%
428	New York	5	9,300	336,200	2.77%
427	New York	6	9,100	327,000	2.78%
432	New York	7	8,600	322,200	2.67%
431	New York	8	8,000	292,700	2.73%
430	New York	9	8,900	324,900	2.74%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 4 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER HIGH-IMPACT SCENARIO, IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER

Rank (in order of jobs created as a share of district employment)	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
421	New York	10	10,300	360,300	2.86%
433	New York	11	8,400	317,500	2.65%
397	New York	12	13,000	418,800	3.10%
415	New York	13	9,400	317,200	2.96%
425	New York	14	9,600	341,800	2.81%
417	New York	15	7,500	255,900	2.93%
409	New York	16	9,800	323,600	3.03%
416	New York	17	10,100	341,400	2.96%
345	New York	18	11,500	332,100	3.46%
295	New York	19	12,200	327,300	3.73%
392	New York	20	11,200	357,600	3.13%
317	New York	21	11,100	309,200	3.59%
158	New York	22	13,900	320,200	4.34%
95	New York	23	15,100	324,600	4.65%
161	New York	24	14,100	327,300	4.31%
181	New York	25	14,200	335,400	4.23%
310	New York	26	11,900	327,700	3.63%
102	New York	27	15,500	337,800	4.59%
140	North Carolina	1	12,900	291,800	4.42%
198	North Carolina	2	12,600	303,800	4.15%
309	North Carolina	3	11,100	305,600	3.63%
363	North Carolina	4	11,800	350,900	3.36%
170	North Carolina	5	13,900	324,500	4.28%
284	North Carolina	6	12,900	341,800	3.77%
252	North Carolina	7	12,300	315,400	3.90%
204	North Carolina	8	12,400	301,700	4.11%
150	North Carolina	9	16,300	371,400	4.39%
105	North Carolina	10	14,800	324,000	4.57%
188	North Carolina	11	12,400	295,400	4.20%
298	North Carolina	12	11,800	319,800	3.69%



SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 4 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER HIGH-IMPACT SCENARIO, IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER

Rank (in order of jobs created as a share of district employment)	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
175	North Carolina	13	14,900	349,900	4.26%
103	North Dakota	Statewide	17,000	370,800	4.58%
139	Ohio	1	14,700	332,300	4.42%
117	Ohio	2	14,600	323,600	4.51%
351	Ohio	3	11,400	333,000	3.42%
7	Ohio	4	19,700	317,900	6.20%
29	Ohio	5	18,700	334,200	5.60%
47	Ohio	6	14,900	292,300	5.10%
24	Ohio	7	18,800	326,800	5.75%
18	Ohio	8	19,100	328,800	5.81%
77	Ohio	9	15,200	315,000	4.83%
160	Ohio	10	13,500	312,800	4.32%
159	Ohio	11	11,900	275,200	4.32%
213	Ohio	12	14,600	359,500	4.06%
63	Ohio	13	16,000	320,400	4.99%
26	Ohio	14	19,800	349,700	5.66%
219	Ohio	15	13,600	336,400	4.04%
46	Ohio	16	18,200	355,600	5.12%
85	Oklahoma	1	17,200	361,900	4.75%
91	Oklahoma	2	13,600	290,300	4.68%
100	Oklahoma	3	15,200	329,900	4.61%
294	Oklahoma	4	13,100	350,900	3.73%
342	Oklahoma	5	12,100	348,800	3.47%
30	Oregon	1	20,800	377,200	5.51%
69	Oregon	2	15,500	314,200	4.93%
242	Oregon	3	15,200	383,300	3.97%
165	Oregon	4	13,300	309,000	4.30%
176	Oregon	5	13,900	326,700	4.25%
362	Pennsylvania	1	9,200	273,300	3.37%
394	Pennsylvania	2	8,500	273,100	3.11%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 4 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER HIGH-IMPACT SCENARIO, IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER

Rank (in order of jobs created as a share of district employment)	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
40	Pennsylvania	3	16,700	317,700	5.26%
133	Pennsylvania	4	15,200	342,900	4.43%
70	Pennsylvania	5	15,500	316,800	4.89%
109	Pennsylvania	6	16,500	362,300	4.55%
106	Pennsylvania	7	15,500	339,700	4.56%
179	Pennsylvania	8	15,200	357,800	4.25%
135	Pennsylvania	9	13,500	304,800	4.43%
143	Pennsylvania	10	13,800	312,500	4.42%
192	Pennsylvania	11	13,700	329,300	4.16%
119	Pennsylvania	12	14,900	331,900	4.49%
248	Pennsylvania	13	13,300	339,000	3.92%
325	Pennsylvania	14	11,500	323,200	3.56%
94	Pennsylvania	15	16,000	343,800	4.65%
81	Pennsylvania	16	15,800	327,700	4.82%
224	Pennsylvania	17	12,600	312,600	4.03%
104	Pennsylvania	18	15,800	345,000	4.58%
164	Rhode Island	1	10,800	250,900	4.30%
276	Rhode Island	2	9,900	260,300	3.80%
241	South Carolina	1	11,900	299,800	3.97%
226	South Carolina	2	12,300	305,600	4.02%
28	South Carolina	3	14,900	264,500	5.63%
73	South Carolina	4	14,700	301,000	4.88%
75	South Carolina	5	13,400	275,200	4.87%
174	South Carolina	6	10,800	253,500	4.26%
193	South Carolina	7	11,200	269,400	4.16%
51	South Dakota	Statewide	21,100	415,600	5.08%
87	Tennessee	1	14,100	297,600	4.74%
289	Tennessee	2	12,300	327,200	3.76%
155	Tennessee	3	12,900	297,000	4.34%
141	Tennessee	4	13,900	314,500	4.42%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 4 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER HIGH-IMPACT SCENARIO, IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER

Rank (in order of jobs created as a share of district employment)	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
326	Tennessee	5	12,500	353,400	3.54%
148	Tennessee	6	13,400	304,500	4.40%
116	Tennessee	7	12,900	285,800	4.51%
74	Tennessee	8	14,600	299,200	4.88%
291	Tennessee	9	11,400	305,300	3.73%
187	Texas	1	12,500	297,700	4.20%
144	Texas	2	16,100	364,600	4.42%
114	Texas	3	16,800	371,200	4.53%
185	Texas	4	12,600	299,300	4.21%
236	Texas	5	12,000	300,800	3.99%
180	Texas	6	14,800	348,800	4.24%
163	Texas	7	16,200	376,300	4.31%
149	Texas	8	13,600	309,200	4.40%
319	Texas	9	11,700	326,400	3.58%
186	Texas	10	14,400	342,600	4.20%
199	Texas	11	12,800	308,800	4.15%
58	Texas	12	16,900	337,500	5.01%
132	Texas	13	13,700	309,000	4.43%
177	Texas	14	12,900	303,300	4.25%
374	Texas	15	9,200	280,900	3.28%
418	Texas	16	8,200	281,300	2.92%
288	Texas	17	12,400	329,300	3.77%
189	Texas	18	12,800	306,400	4.18%
256	Texas	19	12,100	310,700	3.89%
402	Texas	20	9,600	311,400	3.08%
376	Texas	21	11,700	361,200	3.24%
240	Texas	22	14,000	352,500	3.97%
359	Texas	23	9,800	289,700	3.38%
167	Texas	24	16,700	388,600	4.30%
221	Texas	25	12,200	302,200	4.04%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 4 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER HIGH-IMPACT SCENARIO, IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER

Rank (in order of jobs created as a share of district employment)	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
127	Texas	26	16,400	368,300	4.45%
194	Texas	27	12,700	305,600	4.16%
336	Texas	28	9,300	266,300	3.49%
131	Texas	29	13,000	292,900	4.44%
331	Texas	30	10,300	292,300	3.52%
251	Texas	31	12,600	323,000	3.90%
153	Texas	32	15,700	360,900	4.35%
168	Texas	33	12,200	283,900	4.30%
343	Texas	34	8,400	242,200	3.47%
386	Texas	35	10,100	318,200	3.17%
84	Texas	36	14,000	291,900	4.80%
129	Utah	1	13,900	312,400	4.45%
233	Utah	2	12,200	305,700	3.99%
296	Utah	3	11,500	311,200	3.70%
182	Utah	4	14,000	331,500	4.22%
195	Vermont	Statewide	13,600	327,300	4.16%
403	Virginia	1	10,800	352,400	3.06%
384	Virginia	2	10,800	339,800	3.18%
383	Virginia	3	10,200	320,100	3.19%
297	Virginia	4	12,100	327,900	3.69%
279	Virginia	5	12,000	316,100	3.80%
271	Virginia	6	13,000	339,900	3.82%
372	Virginia	7	12,000	364,600	3.29%
422	Virginia	8	12,100	423,700	2.86%
118	Virginia	9	13,400	298,400	4.49%
356	Virginia	10	12,800	376,400	3.40%
414	Virginia	11	11,900	400,900	2.97%
62	Washington	1	16,600	332,300	5.00%
64	Washington	2	15,900	318,900	4.99%
101	Washington	3	13,100	284,500	4.60%

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 4 (CONTINUED): NEW JOBS UNDER HIGH-IMPACT SCENARIO, IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER

Rank (in order of jobs created as a share of district employment)	State	District	Jobs created (rounded)	District employment in 2011 (rounded)	Jobs created as a share of district employment
19	Washington	4	16,500	284,500	5.80%
238	Washington	5	11,600	291,500	3.98%
340	Washington	6	9,600	275,500	3.48%
215	Washington	7	15,400	380,000	4.05%
48	Washington	8	16,200	318,000	5.09%
99	Washington	9	15,800	341,400	4.63%
371	Washington	10	9,600	291,300	3.30%
214	West Virginia	1	10,500	258,700	4.06%
323	West Virginia	2	9,500	266,900	3.56%
244	West Virginia	3	8,800	223,000	3.95%
21	Wisconsin	1	19,800	342,500	5.78%
120	Wisconsin	2	17,500	390,000	4.49%
56	Wisconsin	3	17,800	353,500	5.04%
83	Wisconsin	4	14,800	308,000	4.81%
9	Wisconsin	5	22,500	370,600	6.07%
3	Wisconsin	6	23,800	353,600	6.73%
27	Wisconsin	7	19,100	338,400	5.64%
16	Wisconsin	8	21,200	362,800	5.84%
290	Wyoming	Statewide	10,900	290,000	3.76%
<b>Total**</b>			5,800,000	140,399,600	4.13%

\*The table estimates the effects of ending currency manipulation over three years, modeled as having begun in 2013. The high-impact scenario assumes ending currency manipulation would reduce the trade deficit by \$500 billion in 2015 relative to the trade deficit in 2012.

\*\*Totals may vary slightly due to rounding.

**Source:** Author's analysis of the American Community Survey (U.S. Census Bureau 2013), U.S. International Trade Commission (2013), Congressional Budget Office (2013a and 2013b), Bivens (2011), Bivens and Edwards (2010), Kondo and Svec (2009, 10), Bureau of Labor Statistics (2013d), Bureau of Labor Statistics Employment Projections program (BLS-EP 2011a and 2011b), and Zandi (2011). For a more detailed explanation of data sources and computations, see text and the appendix.